

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY  
TRENTON VICINAGE**

QUAKERBRIDGE EARLY LEARNING  
LLC d/b/a KIDDIE ACADEMY OF  
HAMILTON, individually and on behalf of all  
others similarly situated,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

SELECTIVE INSURANCE COMPANY OF  
NEW ENGLAND and SELECTIVE  
INSURANCE GROUP, INC.,

*Defendants.*

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Plaintiff, Quakerbridge Early Learning LLC d/b/a Kiddie Academy of Hamilton (hereinafter, “Kiddie Academy” or “Plaintiff”), brings this Class Action Complaint on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated, alleging relief against Defendants, Selective Insurance Company of New England and Selective Insurance Group, Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as “Selective” or “Defendants”), and aver as follows:

**NATURE OF THE CASE**

1. This is a class action seeking declaratory relief arising from Plaintiff’s and members of the class’ contracts of insurance with the Defendants.

2. In light of the Coronavirus global pandemic and state and local orders mandating that all non-essential in-store businesses must shut down, and the suffering of physical harm and impact and damages, within Plaintiff’s business premises and/or within the immediate area surrounding and outside its business premises, Plaintiff was forced to suspend its regular business to customers on March 31, 2020.

3. Plaintiff's and class members' insurance policies ("Policies") provide coverage for all non-excluded business losses, and thus provide coverage here.

4. As a result, Plaintiff and members of the class are entitled to declaratory relief that their businesses are covered for all business losses that have been suffered and sustained

### **JURISDICTION**

5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because (1) this matter exceeds \$5,000,000, (2) the action is a class action, (3) there are members of the class diverse from Defendants, and (4) there are more than 100 class members.

6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because their headquarters and principal places of business are located within the State of New Jersey. Accordingly, Defendants are subject to general personal jurisdiction of this Court.

7. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) because Defendants are residents of this District, because Defendants transact business in this District, and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim, including drafting of Defendants' insurance policy language, occurred in this District.

### **PARTIES**

8. Plaintiff Kiddie Academy is a daycare center which operates at 3848 Quakerbridge Rd, Hamilton Township, NJ 08619 ("Insured Property"). Plaintiff is a limited liability company owned solely by Pamela Maxwell and Kathleen Naugle who are both citizens of New Jersey.

9. At all relevant times, Defendants Selective Insurance Company of New England, an affiliated insurer of Selective Insurance Group, Inc., issued a business interruption policy to Plaintiff for its property.

10. Defendants Selective Insurance Company of New England (“Selective New England”) is an insurance company whose headquarters and principal place of business are in New Jersey.

11. Defendant Selective Insurance Group, Inc. (“Selective Inc.”) is an insurance company whose headquarters and principal place of business are in New Jersey.

12. At all relevant times, Defendants issued an insurance policy to Plaintiff Kiddie Academy (policy number S 2315919) that includes coverage for business interruption losses incurred by Plaintiff from July 21, 2019 through July 21, 2020. *See* Declaration page, attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (“Policy”).

13. The policy for Kiddie Academy, currently in full effect, includes coverage for, among other things, business personal property, business income, special business income, and professional business income.

14. Plaintiff submitted a claim for a business loss pursuant to its policy, seeking coverage under the policy. Defendants rejected Plaintiff’s business loss and business interruption claims and other claims, contending, *inter alia*, that Plaintiff did not suffer physical damage to its property directly and stating other reasons why Plaintiff is not purportedly entitled to coverage for the losses and damages claimed.

15. The claims asserted by Plaintiff Kiddie Academy are similar to other class members’ business losses for the closure of their daycare centers. The rejection of their losses by Defendants because there was no physical damage to the property and the Virus Exclusion are invalid. Defendants’ denials are in violation of their policies. The Virus Exclusion does not exclude coverage for losses associated with this pandemic and Plaintiff has suffered physical damage.

## **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

### **I. Insurance Coverage**

16. Defendants entered into a contract of insurance with Plaintiff and Class Members, whereby payments were made to Defendants in exchange for Defendants' promise to indemnify Plaintiff and Class Members for losses including, but not limited to, business income losses at Plaintiff's Insured Property.

17. Kiddie Academy's Insured Property is covered under the Policy issued by Defendants. *See* Ex. 1.

18. The Policy provides, among other things property, business personal property, business income and extra expense, contamination coverage, and additional coverages.

19. Plaintiff and Class Members faithfully paid policy premiums to Defendants, specifically to provide, among other things, additional coverages in the event of business interruption or closures for a variety of reasons, including by order of Civil Authority.

20. Under the Policy, business interruption insurance coverage is extended to apply to, *inter alia*, the actual loss of business income sustained, and the actual, necessary and reasonable extra expenses incurred.

21. The Policy is an all-risk policy, insofar as it provides that covered causes of loss under the policy means direct loss or damage unless the loss is specifically excluded or limited in the Policy.

22. An all-risk policy such as that purchased by Plaintiff and class members is one that protects against catastrophic events, such as the one occurring now, involving the global COVID-19 Pandemic that has resulted in the widespread, omnipresent and persistent presence of COVID-19 in and around Plaintiff's Insured Property, including adjacent properties.

23. Plaintiff's all-risk policy includes coverage for business interruption, which is standard in most all-risk commercial property insurance policies, along with coverage for extended expenses.

24. Plaintiff purchased the Policy expecting to be insured against losses, including, but not limited to, business income losses at the daycare.

25. Plaintiff purchased, among other coverages, business interruption coverage for closure by Order of Civil Authority.

26. Based upon information and belief, the Policy provided by Defendants included language that is essentially standardized language adopted from and/or developed by the ISO ("Insurance Service Office"). The ISO, founded in 1971, provides a broad range of services to the property and casualty insurance industry. In addition to form policies, ISO collects and manages databases containing large amounts of statistical, actuarial, underwriting, and claims information, fraud-identification tools, and other technical services. ISO describes itself as follows: "ISO provides advisory services and information to many insurance companies. ... ISO develops and publishes policy language that many insurance companies use as the basis for their products." ISO General Questions, Verisk, <https://www.verisk.com/insurance/about/faq/> (last visited June 5, 2020); *see also* Insurance Services Office (ISO), Verisk, <https://www.verisk.com/insurance/brands/iso/> (last visited June 5, 2020).

27. The language in the Policy is language that is "adhesionary" in that Plaintiff was not a participant in negotiating or drafting its content and provisions.

28. Plaintiff possessed no leverage or bargaining power to alter or negotiate the terms of the Policy, and more particularly, Plaintiff had no ability to alter, change or modify standardized language derived from the ISO format.

29. Upon information and belief, the “Virus Exclusion” in the Policy was never intended by the ISO nor Defendants to pertain to a situation like the present global Pandemic of the Coronavirus and therefore does not apply to exclude coverage in this matter.

30. Upon information and belief, the Virus Exclusion in the policy was developed by the ISO in response to the SARS situation that occurred in or around 2005-2006, which was not a Pandemic and not a global Pandemic as is the present COVID-19 Pandemic situation, and therefore was never intended to exclude coverage for a circumstance as presented in this matter.

31. Further, the Virus Exclusion was first permitted by state insurance departments due to misleading and fraudulent statements by the ISO that property insurance policies do not and were not intended to cover losses caused by viruses, and so the Virus Exclusion offers mere clarification of existing law. To the contrary, before the ISO made such baseless assertions, courts considered contamination by a virus to be physical damage. Defendants’ use of the Virus Exclusion to deny coverage here shows that the Virus Exclusion was fraudulently adopted, adhesionary, and unconscionable. See <https://www.propertycasualty360.com/2020/04/07/here-we-go-again-virus-exclusion-for-covid-19-and-insurers/> (last visited June 12, 2020).

32. The Virus Exclusion applies only to “loss or damage caused by or resulting from any virus, bacterium or other microorganism that induces or is capable of inducing physical distress, illness or disease.”

33. Plaintiff purchased the Policy with an expectation that it was purchasing a policy that would provide coverage in the event of business interruption and extended expenses, such as that suffered by Plaintiff as a result of COVID-19.

34. At no time had Defendants, or its agents, notified Plaintiff that the coverage that Plaintiff had purchased pursuant to an all-risk policy that included business interruption coverage,

had exclusions and provisions that purportedly undermined the very purpose of the coverage, of providing benefits in the occurrence of business interruption and incurring extended expenses.

35. The purported exclusions of the Policy that Defendants have or are expected to raise in defense of Plaintiff's claim under the Civil Authority coverage of the Policy are contradictory to the provision of Civil Authority Order coverage and violates the public policy of the State of New Jersey and other states as a contract of adhesion and hence is not enforceable against Plaintiff or class members.

36. Access to Plaintiff's business was prohibited by Civil Authority Orders which precluded Plaintiff and class members from operating their insured properties in the manner intended, for which such insurance was purchased. The Policy provides for coverage for actual loss of business sustained and actual expenses incurred as a covered loss caused by the prohibitions of the Civil Authority Orders in the area of Plaintiff's Insured Property, which applies to circumstances presented by the Plaintiff and class members.

37. The reasonable expectations of Plaintiff and the class, *i.e.*, an objectively reasonable interpretation by the average policyholder of the coverage that was being provided,<sup>1</sup> was that the business interruption coverage included coverage when a civil authority forced closure of the business for an issue of public safety involving the COVID-19 pandemic in the immediate area surrounding the Insured Property.

38. The Policy does not exclude the losses suffered by Plaintiff and therefore, the Policy does provide coverage for the losses incurred by Plaintiff.

---

<sup>1</sup>See *DiOrio v. New Jersey Mfrs. Ins. Co.*, 398 A.2d 1274, 1280 (N.J. 1979) (genuine ambiguities are to be resolved against the insurer, an objectively reasonable interpretation of the average policyholder is accepted so far as the language of the insurance contract will permit).

39. Plaintiff suffered direct loss or damage within the definitions of the Policy as loss of use of property as it was intended to be used, as here, constitutes loss or damage.

40. The virus and bacterium exclusions do not apply because Plaintiff's losses were not directly caused by a virus, bacterium or other microorganism. Instead, Plaintiff's losses were caused by the entry of Civil Authority Order, particularly those by Governor Murphy and by the New Jersey Department of Health, to mitigate the spread of COVID-19.

41. Based on information and belief, Defendants have accepted the policy premiums with no intention of providing any coverage for business losses or the Civil Authority extension due to a loss and shutdown from a pandemic. Plaintiff contacted its insurance agent about making a claim under the policy and was told that Defendants would reject the claim which denial, upon information and belief, extends to all class members

## **II. The Coronavirus Pandemic**

42. The scientific community, and those personally affected by the virus, recognize COVID-19 as a cause of real physical loss and damage. It is clear that contamination of the Insured Property would be a direct physical loss requiring remediation to clean the surfaces of the daycare center constituting the Insured Property.

43. The virus that causes COVID-19 remains stable and transmittable in aerosols for up to three hours, up to four hours on copper, up to 24 hours on cardboard and up to two to three days on plastic and stainless steel. See <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/new-coronavirus-stable-hours-surfaces> (last visited April 9, 2020).

44. The CDC has issued a guidance that gatherings of more than 10 people must not occur. People in congregate environments, which are places where people live, eat, and sleep in close proximity, face increased danger of contracting COVID-19.

45. On March 11, 2020 the World Health Organization (“WHO”) made the assessment that COVID-19 shall be characterized as a pandemic. *See* <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020>.

46. The global Coronavirus pandemic is exacerbated by the fact that the deadly virus physically infects and stays on surfaces of objects or materials, “fomites,” for up to twenty-eight (28) days.

47. A particular challenge with the novel coronavirus is that it is possible for a person to be infected with COVID-19 but be asymptomatic. Thus, seemingly healthy people unknowingly spread the virus via speaking, breathing, and touching objects.

48. While infected droplets and particles carrying COVID-19 may not be visible to the naked eye, they are physical objects which travel to other objects and cause harm. Habitable surfaces on which COVID-19 has been shown to survive include, but are not limited to, stainless steel, plastic, wood, paper, glass, ceramic, cardboard, and cloth.

49. China, Italy, France, and Spain have implemented the cleaning and fumigating of public areas prior to allowing them to re-open publicly due to the intrusion of microbials.

50. A French Court has determined that business interruption coverage applies to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *See* <https://www.insurancejournal.com/news/international/2020/05/22/569710.htm>.

51. The determination by a Court of another country that coverage exists is consistent with public policy that in the presence of a worldwide Pandemic, such as COVID-19, businesses that possess business interruption insurance coverage should recover their losses from the insurance carriers.

### III. Civil Authority for New Jersey

52. On March 9, 2020, New Jersey Governor Philip Murphy issued a Proclamation of Public Health Emergency and State of Emergency, the first formal recognition of an emergency situation in the State of New Jersey as a result of COVID-19. *See* Executive Order No.103, herein attached as Exhibit 2.

53. On March 16, 2020, Governor Murphy issued an Order requiring all non-essential businesses in the State of New Jersey to cease operations and close all physical locations. Businesses that were permitted to remain open “may remain open if they limit their occupancy to no more than 50 persons and adhere to social distancing guidelines.” *See* Executive Order No.104, herein attached as Exhibit 3.

54. On March 21, 2020, Governor Murphy issued a Stay-at-Home Order for residents of New Jersey. *See* Executive Order No.107, herein attached as Exhibit 4. The Order required that “brick-and-mortar premises of all non-essential retail businesses<sup>2</sup> must close to the public as long as this Order remains in effect.”

55. On March 25, 2020, Governor Murphy issued an order mandating all daycare facilities to close except those that met stringent measures and are offering services to children of essential workers. *See* Executive Order No.110, herein attached as Exhibit 5.

56. The New Jersey Assembly passed a bill on March 16, 2020 that would provide “a mechanism by which certain businesses that suffer losses due to interruption as a result of the

---

<sup>2</sup> The Order enumerated essential businesses as follows: Grocery stores, farmer’s markets and farms that sell directly to customers, and other food stores, including retailers that offer a varied assortment of foods comparable to what exists at a grocery store; Pharmacies and alternative treatment centers that dispense medicinal marijuana; Medical supply stores; Retail functions of gas stations; Convenience stores; Ancillary stores within healthcare facilities; Hardware and home improvement stores; Retail functions of banks and other financial institutions; Retail functions of laundromats and dry-cleaning services; Stores that principally sell supplies for children under five years old; Pet stores; Liquor stores; Car dealerships, but only to provide auto maintenance and repair services, and auto mechanics; Retail functions of printing and office supply shops; and Retail functions of mail and delivery stores. *See* Exhibit 4.

coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic may recover those losses from their insurer if they had a policy of business interruption insurance in force on March 9, 2020, the date on which the Governor declared a Public Health Emergency and State of Emergency in Executive Order 103.”<sup>3</sup>

57. On May 29, 2020, Governor Murphy issued an order, rescinding Executive Order 110 and permitting childcare facilities to resume operations effective June 15, 2020. *See* Executive Order No.149, herein attached as Exhibit 6.

58. Further, on April 10, 2020, President Trump, expressing the expectations of the average policyholder, supported insurance coverage for business loss like that suffered by the Plaintiff:

REPORTER: Mr. President may I ask you about credit and debt as well. Many American individuals, families, have had to tap their credit cards during this period of time. And businesses have had to draw down their credit lines. Are you concerned Mr. President that that may hobble the U.S. economy, all of that debt number one? And number two, would you suggest to credit card companies to reduce their fees during this time?

PRESIDENT TRUMP: Well it’s something that we’ve already suggested, we’re talking to them. ***Business interruption insurance***, I’d like to see these insurance companies—you know you have people that have paid. When I was in private I had business interruption. When my business was interrupted through a hurricane or whatever it may be, I’d have business where I had it, I didn’t always have it, sometimes I had it, sometimes, I had a lot of different companies. ***But if I had it I’d expect to be paid***. You have people. I speak mostly to the restaurateurs, where they have a restaurant, they’ve been paying for 25, 30, 35 years, business interruption. They’ve never needed it. All of a sudden they need it. And I’m very good at reading language. I did very well in these subjects, OK. And I don’t see the word pandemic mentioned. Now in some cases it is, it’s an exclusion. But in a lot of cases I don’t see it. I don’t see it referenced. And they don’t want to pay up. I would like to see the

---

<sup>3</sup> The bill was pulled from a March 23, 2020 New Jersey Senate vote. “The measure’s sponsor, Assemblyman Roy Frieman, D-16th District, told NJBIZ that he wanted to give insurance companies more leeway with changing their policies to include pandemics.” <https://njbiz.com/murphy-expected-sign-host-bills-alleviate-covid-19s-economic-impacts/> (last visited May 9, 2020).

insurance companies pay if they need to pay, if it's fair. And they know what's fair, and I know what's fair, I can tell you very quickly. But business interruption insurance, that's getting a lot of money to a lot of people. And they've been paying for years, sometimes they just started paying, but you have people that have never asked for business interruption insurance, and they've been paying a lot of money for a lot of years for the privilege of having it, and then when they finally need it, the insurance company says 'we're not going to give it.' We can't let that happen.

<https://youtu.be/cMeG5C9TjU> (last visited on April 17, 2020) (emphasis added).

59. The President is articulating a few core points:

- a. Business interruption is a common type of insurance. It applies to a variety of business establishments.
- b. Businesses pay in premiums for this coverage and should reasonably expect they'll receive the benefit of the coverage.
- c. This pandemic should be covered unless there is a specific exclusion for pandemics.
- d. If insurers deny coverage, they would be acting in bad faith.
- e. Public policy considerations support a finding that coverage exists and that a denial of coverage would be in violation of public policy.

60. On June 15, 2020 Governor Murphy permitted the State of New Jersey to enter Phase Two of reopening, which included opening childcare services with restrictions in place to reduce the risk of the spread of the coronavirus.

61. These Orders and proclamations, as they relate to the closure of all "non-life-sustaining businesses," evidence an awareness on the part of both state and local governments that COVID-19 causes damage to property. This is particularly true in places where business is conducted, such as Plaintiff's, as the requisite contact and interaction causes a heightened risk of the property becoming contaminated.

62. Plaintiff did not have the ability or right to ignore these Orders and proclamations as doing so would expose Plaintiff to fines and sanctions.

63. Plaintiff's adherence to the requirements of these Orders and proclamations was in furtherance of the protecting the public, the public's good, supportive of public policy to attempt to minimize the risk of spread of COVID-19 and consistent with it complying with the Civil Authority Orders entered.

#### IV. Other States

64. The shut-down Civil Authority Orders issued by New Jersey authorities covering New Jersey non-essential businesses are similar to Civil Authority Orders that were issued nationwide by state and local civil authorities during the course of the class period. *See* <https://www.wsj.com/articles/a-state-by-state-guide-to-coronavirus-lockdowns-11584749351>.

##### 1. Alabama

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are closed to the public, including entertainment venues, fitness centers, salons, nail parlors and certain retailers.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Beaches closed.

##### 2. Alaska

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** Travelers from out of state must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Unless locally restricted, open with social distancing.

3. *Arkansas*

- **Travel outside home:** The governor has not issued a stay-at-home order.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit; does not apply to unenclosed outdoor spaces or places of worship.
- **Businesses:** Gym and entertainment venues are closed. Hotels, motels and vacation rentals are restricted to authorized guests.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks remain operational during the daytime.

4. *Arizona*

- **Travel outside home:** Residents are advised to limit travel outside the home.
- **Gatherings:** Residents are advised to avoid gatherings.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses can reopen with enhanced physical distancing and safety measures in place.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Restaurants and bars can reopen with safety precautions.
- **Beaches/parks:** Unless locally restricted, open with social distancing.

5. *California*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Gatherings in a single room or place are prohibited. Visitation to hospitals, nursing homes and other residential care facilities is restricted.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are closed.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Some parks are fully closed. Local jurisdictions have closed some beaches.

6. *Colorado*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Public and private gatherings of any number are prohibited with limited exceptions.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks remain open, but playgrounds, picnic areas and campgrounds are closed.

7. *Connecticut*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Five-person limit for social and recreational gatherings; 50-person limit for religious services.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses must suspend all in-person operations.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive. Out-of-state visitors are strongly urged to self-quarantine.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Trails and grounds of state parks and forests are open with social distancing.

8. *Delaware*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** Visitors from out of state who are not just passing through must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Beaches are closed except for exercise or dog walking. State parks remain open with restricted activity.

9. *Florida*

- **Travel outside home:** Senior citizens and those with significant medical conditions may not leave home unless for essential needs or to go to an essential job.
- **Gatherings:** No social gatherings in a public space with religious exemptions.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential services are closed to the public. Gun stores remain open.
- **Quarantines:** Visitors from outbreak hot spots, such as the New York tri-state area, must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Florida state parks are closed. Most beaches are closed.

10. *Georgia*

- **Travel outside home:** Mandated social distancing and recommended mask-wearing outside. Older and at-risk residents can leave only for essential needs/work with limited visitors.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit, not applying to incidental or transitory groups of people going by each other.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses and other entities may not permit gatherings at their premises.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-in allowed with capacity limits, sanitation requirements and dozens of other precautionary measures.
- **Beaches/parks:** Open, with social distancing.

11. *Hawaii*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work. Essential services must implement separate operating hours for high-risk populations.

- **Quarantines:** Travelers from out of state must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Most state parks and public beaches are closed. All camping and lodging at parks is suspended.

*12. Idaho*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Nonessential gatherings are prohibited. Visits to hospitals, nursing homes and residential-care facilities are restricted.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work. Drive-in theaters and churches are permitted.
- **Quarantines:** Persons entering the state of Idaho are required to self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** No camping in state parks.

*13. Illinois*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks, fish and wildlife areas, recreational areas and historic sites are closed.

*14. Indiana*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.

- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Hiking, biking, fishing, boating, birding, hunting and camping are allowed with social distancing.

*15. Iowa*

- **Travel outside home:** The governor has not issued a stay-at-home order.
- **Gatherings:** Limited to 10 people.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential retail businesses are closed.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Parks remain open. Campgrounds are closed.

*16. Kansas*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit, exempting funerals and religious services with social distancing.
- **Businesses:** Residents may not leave home to patronize nonessential businesses, such as hair salons.
- **Quarantines:** Kansas residents who traveled to California, Florida, New York or Washington state after March 14—or visited Illinois or New Jersey after March 22—must self-quarantine for 14 days. The same applies to anybody who had close contact with a Covid-19 patient.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out or curbside service only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Most parks are open.

*17. Kentucky*

- **Travel outside home:** Travel outside the state is restricted to essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Mass gatherings prohibited; smaller gatherings are allowed with social distancing.

- **Businesses:** Nonessential retail must close.
- **Quarantines:** Anybody coming in from out of state—including residents—must self-quarantine for 14 days upon return.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks closed for overnight stays.

*18. Louisiana*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Some state parks are open for fishing, hiking and biking during the day.

*19. Maine*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work. No use of public transportation unless absolutely necessary. .
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** Travelers arriving in Maine, regardless of their state of residency, must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Numerous parks and beaches closed.

*20. Maryland*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.

- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work. Senior-citizen activities centers are closed.
- **Quarantines:** People traveling into Maryland from anywhere outside Maryland are required to self-quarantine for 14 days with limited exceptions. (Guidance)
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State park beaches are closed. Some parks remain open.

*21. Massachusetts*

- **Travel outside home:** People and especially older adults are strongly advised to stay home as much as possible. (Advisory)
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit. Applies to confined spaces, not parks and other outdoor spaces.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses must close their physical workplaces and facilities to workers and customers. Groceries must reserve an hour in the morning for older customers.
- **Quarantines:** Arriving travelers from out of state are instructed to self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** No congregating on coastal beaches. State parks are open and campgrounds closed.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks are open, but campgrounds, overnight lodging facilities and shelters are closed.

*22. Minnesota*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** No statewide directive.
- **Businesses:** Entertainment and performance venues are closed.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.

- **Beaches/parks:** Wildlife management areas, state forests and state parks remain open. Campgrounds are closed.

23. *Mississippi*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks are open for fishing. Beaches can re-open with social distancing.

24. *Missouri*

- **Travel outside home:**
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses must enforce social distancing. Essential retailers must limit occupancy.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks and trails are open during the day.

25. *Montana*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Nonessential social and recreational gatherings are prohibited, if social distancing can not be maintained.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** Nonwork travelers from out of state must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.

- **Beaches/parks:** Open with social distancing.

26. *Nebraska*

- **Travel outside home:** The governor has not issued a stay-at-home order.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** No statewide directive.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide travel quarantine. Mandatory quarantines required for Covid-19 patients and households.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** No overnight camping at state parks, state recreation areas and wildlife management areas.

27. *Nevada*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** People may not congregate in groups of 10 or more.
- **Businesses:** Recreational, entertainment and personal-care businesses are closed, including casinos.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks in the greater Las Vegas area, including Valley of Fire and Rye Patch are closed. Other state parks remain open for day-use only.

28. *New Hampshire*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Nine-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only
- **Beaches/parks:** Most park sites are open.

29. *New Mexico*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Five-person limit in a single room or connected space outside residence.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses must suspend all in-person operations.
- **Quarantines:** Arriving air travelers must self-quarantine for two weeks.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks are closed.

30. *New York*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work. Individuals age 70 and older and those with compromised immune systems must stay home and limit home-visitation to immediate family members or close friends.
- **Gatherings:** Nonessential gatherings are prohibited.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses limited to minimum operations or remote work. (Guidance)
- **Quarantines:** No mandatory quarantine for out-of-state travelers. Mandatory quarantines for people who have been in close contact with a Covid-19 patient.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Social distancing at state parks.

31. *North Carolina*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** People may go to public parks and outdoor recreation areas unless locally restricted.

32. *North Dakota*

- **Travel outside home:** The governor has not issued a stay-at-home order.
- **Gatherings:** No statewide directive.
- **Businesses:** Personal-care services and recreational facilities are closed.
- **Quarantines:** Mandatory quarantine for residents returning from abroad or domestic travelers returning from a state with widespread community infection.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks are open for day-use only.

33. *Ohio*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses and operations must cease all activities except minimum basic operations.
- **Quarantines:** Travelers arriving in Ohio should self-quarantine for 14 days with limited exceptions.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Wildlife areas, forests and nature preserves remain open.

34. *Oklahoma*

- **Travel outside home:** Vulnerable individuals (older residents and those with underlying medical problems) are directed to stay home except when running essential errands or commuting to critical infrastructure jobs.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit. No visitors at nursing homes, retirement or long-term care facilities.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses must suspend services.
- **Quarantines:** Arriving travelers from the New York tri-state area, California, Louisiana and Washington should self-quarantine for 14 days with limited exceptions.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.

- **Beaches/parks:** Hiking trails, picnic tables, fishing areas and boat ramps are available for outdoor recreation.

35. *Oregon*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Gatherings over 25 people are canceled statewide. Oregonians are urged to avoid gatherings of 10 people.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential business closures.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** No daytime or overnight visitors are permitted at any state park.

36. *Pennsylvania*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Gatherings are generally prohibited.
- **Businesses:** Non-life-sustaining businesses must close or operate remotely.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Trails, lakes, roads and parking are limited to “passive and dispersed recreation.”

37. *Rhode Island*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Five-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Noncritical retail businesses must cease operations.
- **Quarantines:** Mandatory two-week quarantine for out-of-state visitors.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State beaches and parks are closed.

*38. South Carolina*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Gatherings of three or more are prohibited if deemed a threat to public health.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Public beaches and access points to lakes, rivers and waterways are closed. Local restrictions on parks.

*39. South Dakota*

- **Travel outside home:** The governor has not issued a stay-at-home order.
- **Gatherings:** Unnecessary gatherings of 10 or more prohibited.
- **Businesses:** No statewide directive.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** No statewide directive.
- **Beaches/parks:** No statewide directive.

*40. Tennessee*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Social gatherings of 10 or more people prohibited.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Most state parks have reopened for day-use only.

*41. Texas*

- **Travel outside home:** Texans must minimize in-person contact with people who are not in the same household. (A number of major counties have more explicit stay-at-home orders.) No visits to nursing homes or long-term care facilities unless providing critical assistance.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** No eating or drinking at bars and restaurants or visits to gyms, massage establishments, tattoo studios, piercing studios and cosmetology salons.
- **Quarantines:** Air travelers flying to Texas from New York, New Jersey, Connecticut, California, Louisiana or Washington—or Atlanta, Chicago, Detroit, Miami—must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Many state parks remain open. Some beaches are closed or limited to restricted activities.

#### 42. *Utah*

- **Travel outside home:** High-risk individuals (older residents and those with serious underlying medical conditions) may leave only for essential needs/work. Others must stay home whenever possible.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit recommended.
- **Businesses:** Businesses must minimize face-to-face contact with high-risk employees.
- **Quarantines:** Two-week quarantine after traveling out of state or exposed to a person with Covid-19 symptoms.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks open to all visitors, except parks under local health order restrictions.

#### 43. *Vermont*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Nonessential gatherings are limited to 10 people.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.

- **Quarantines:** Visitors must self-quarantine for two weeks unless traveling for an essential purpose.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** RV parks and campgrounds are closed with emergency shelter exceptions

44. *Virginia*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** 10-person limit.
- **Businesses:** Recreation and entertainment businesses must close.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Beaches are closed except for fishing and exercising. State parks are open for day-use activities. Campgrounds are closed.

45. *Washington*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** All gatherings of people for social, spiritual and recreational purposes are prohibited.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No statewide directive.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** State parks and recreational fisheries are closed.

46. *West Virginia*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** Five-person limit with some exceptions.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.

- **Quarantines:** Two-week mandatory quarantines for people traveling into West Virginia from areas of substantial community spread of Covid-19.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Park lodges, cabins and campgrounds are closed.

47. *Wisconsin*

- **Travel outside home:** Only for essential needs/work.
- **Gatherings:** All public and private gatherings are prohibited with limited exceptions.
- **Businesses:** Nonessential businesses are limited to minimum operations or remote work.
- **Quarantines:** No mandatory quarantine for out-of-state travelers. Self-quarantine recommended for out-of-state travelers.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** Many state parks are closed. Campgrounds are closed.

48. *Wyoming*

- **Travel outside home:** Residents urged but not required to stay home whenever possible.
- **Gatherings:** Limited to nine people.
- **Businesses:** Theaters, bars, museums, gyms, nightclubs and other public places are closed.
- **Quarantines:** People traveling to Wyoming for nonwork purposes must self-quarantine for 14 days.
- **Bars/restaurants:** Dine-out only.
- **Beaches/parks:** No statewide directive.

**CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

65. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2) on behalf of the following Class:

All Child Care Centers insured by Selective Insurance Company of New England and Selective Insurance Group, Inc who have been denied business interruption coverage for lost income and/or extended expenses as a result of Civil Authority Orders issued in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

66. Upon information and belief, Defendants have taken a uniform position that it will not cover business interruption losses for all daycare centers relying on uniform language contained in the insurance policies it has issued to child care centers.

67. The exact number of the Class members is unknown as such information is in exclusive control of Defendants. However, due to the nature and commerce involved, Plaintiff believes the Class consists of hundreds of insureds nationwide, making joinder of the Class members impractical.

68. Common questions of law and fact affect the right of each Class member. Plaintiff is seeking Declaratory Relief for all Class members who run daycare centers with similar policies as that issued to Plaintiff by Defendants. Declaratory relief will permit adjudication of the rights of all parties as to whether Defendants' policies provide coverage for business interruptions losses the Class has suffered as a result of Civil Authority Orders.

69. Common questions of law and fact that affect the Class members include, but are not limited to:

- a. Whether Defendants were legally obligated to pay for business interruption as a result of Civil Authority Orders issued in response to the COVID-19 pandemic;
- b. Whether Plaintiff and Class members have suffered "property damages" and/or other business income/interruption/extended expense losses in accordance with the terms and conditions of Defendants' business interruption insurance policies;
- c. Whether Plaintiff and Class members are excluded from coverage for losses they suffered due to the Civil Authority Orders as a result of the

Virus or Bacterial exclusions contained in Defendants' insurance policies; and

- d. Whether Defendants are justified in denying Plaintiff and Class members' claims.

70. The claims and defenses of Plaintiff, as representative plaintiff, are typical of the claims and defenses of the Class because Defendants wrongfully denied that its policy covers claims to Plaintiff and the Class members.

71. Plaintiff, as representative plaintiff, will fairly and adequately assert and protect the interests of the Class.

- a. Plaintiff has hired attorneys who are experienced in prosecuting class actions and will adequately represent the interests of the Class; and
- b. Plaintiff has no conflict of interest that will interfere with the maintenance of a class action.

72. A class action provides a fair and efficient method for adjudication of the controversy for the following reasons:

- a. Prosecution of separate actions by individual Class members would create a risk of inconsistent and varying results against Defendants when confronted with incompatible standards of conduct; and
- b. Adjudications with respect to individual Class members could, as a practical matter, be dispositive of any interest of other members not parties to such adjudications and substantially impair their ability to protect their interests.

73. Defendants have taken steps to discourage the Class from submitting claims under their policies. For this reason, Declaratory relief for the entire class is appropriate and necessary.

#### **V. Impact to Plaintiff**

74. As a result of the Orders referenced herein, access to Plaintiff's Insured Property was in fact no longer available and its business suspended from its intended operations.

75. As a consequence of the Orders, Plaintiff completely suspended its ordinary operations as of March 31, 2020.

76. Prior to March 31, 2020, Plaintiff was open. Plaintiff's daycare center is not a closed environment, people – staff, customers, community members, and others – constantly cycle in and out of the centers. Accordingly, there is an ever-present risk that the Insured Property is contaminated and would continue to be contaminated and open access presented an ever-present risk that people entering the Insured Property could be exposed to COVID-19 and become ill from such exposure.

77. Plaintiff could not use its property for its intended purpose. Therefore, the novel coronavirus has caused “direct physical loss of or damage to” Plaintiff's property insured under the policy.

78. Plaintiff's business is highly susceptible to rapid person-to-property transmission of the virus, and vice-versa, because the activities of the customers and the staff require them to work in close proximity to one another within the property and to come in contact with personal property within the building premises that could contain the COVID-19 novel coronavirus.

79. The virus is physically impacting the Insured Property. Any effort by Defendants to deny the reality that the virus causes physical loss and damage would constitute a false and potentially fraudulent misrepresentation that could endanger Plaintiff and the public.

80. Plaintiff's practice including the Insured Property, is highly susceptible to contamination and damage, from, among other things, the rapid person-to-person and person-to-property contamination as COVID-19 is carried into the Insured Property from the surrounding area and other contaminated and damaged premises.

81. Because of the nature of COVID-19 as described above, relating to its persistence in locations and the prospect of causing asymptomatic responses in some people, the risk of infection to persons is not only high, but could cause persons with asymptomatic responses to then come into contact with others who would not be so fortunate as to suffer merely an asymptomatic response, and instead suffer serious illness.

82. The Civil Authority Orders entered by the state and local government were in the exercise of authority to protect the public and minimize the risk of spread of disease.

83. Even with the entry of these Civil Authority Orders there remained physical impact not only in and within Plaintiff's business property but in and around the surrounding location of Plaintiff's business property in light of COVID-19 presence not being detectable other than through microscopic means, and occurrence of illness.

84. The entry of the Civil Authority Orders to mitigate health risks to the public by attempting to prevent COVID-19 contamination, through the closing businesses and ordering persons to stay at home resulted in a physical impact on Plaintiff's business and Insured Property.

85. Plaintiff specifically sought coverage for business interruption losses and extended expenses and paid premiums for such coverage and with an expectation that the Policy Plaintiff purchased provided such coverage, with no disclosures to the contrary being made to Plaintiff by Defendants or its agents.

86. Plaintiff had no choice but to comply with the Civil Authority Orders, for failure to do so would have exposed Plaintiff and his business to fines and sanctions. Plaintiff's compliance with mandates resulted in Plaintiff suffering business losses, business interruption and extended expenses of the nature that the Policy covers and for which Plaintiff's reasonable expectation was that coverage existed in exchange for the premiums paid. As a result of these Orders, Plaintiff has

incurred, and continues to incur, among other things, a substantial loss of business income and additional expenses covered under the Policy.

87. A declaratory judgment determining that coverage exists under the Policy will prevent Plaintiff from being left without vital coverage acquired to ensure the survival of its business due to the shutdown caused by the civil authorities' response is necessary.

## **CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **DECLARATORY RELIEF**

88. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference into this cause of action each and every allegation set forth in each and every paragraph of this Complaint.

89. The Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a), provides that in “a case of actual controversy within its jurisdiction . . . any court of the United States . . . may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought.” 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a).

90. An actual controversy has arisen between Plaintiff and Defendants as to the rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations of the parties under the Policy in that Plaintiff contends and, on information and belief, Defendants disputes and denies, *inter alia*, that:

- a. The Orders constitute a prohibition of access to Plaintiff's Insured Property;
- b. The prohibition of access by the Orders has specifically prohibited access as defined in the Policy;
- c. The Orders trigger coverage;
- d. The Policy provides coverage to Plaintiff for any current and future closures in New Jersey due to physical loss or damage directly or indirectly from the Coronavirus and/or pandemic circumstance under the Civil Authority coverage parameters;
- e. The Policy's exclusions for virus and bacteria do not apply to the circumstances presented in the lawsuit and the kind and types of damages and losses suffered by Plaintiff;

- f. Defendants' denial of coverage for losses sustained that were caused by the entry of the Civil Authority Orders referenced, and Plaintiff's adherence to the Civil Authority Orders violates public policy;
- g. The under the circumstances of this Pandemic and the entry of the Civil Authority Orders referenced, Plaintiff's had no choice but to comply with the Civil Authority Orders, and that Plaintiff's compliance resulting in Plaintiff suffering business losses, business interruption and extended expenses is therefore a covered expense;
- h. The Policy provides business income coverage in the event that Coronavirus has directly or indirectly caused a loss or damage at the insured premises or immediate area of the Insured Property; and
- i. Resolution of the duties, responsibilities and obligation of the parties is necessary as no adequate remedy at law exists and a declaration of the Court is needed to resolve the dispute and controversy.

91. Plaintiff seeks a Declaratory Judgment to determine whether the Orders constitute a prohibition of access to Plaintiff's Insured Property.

92. Plaintiff further seeks a Declaratory Judgment to affirm that the Orders trigger coverage.

93. Plaintiff further seeks a Declaratory Judgment to affirm that the Policy provides coverage to Plaintiff for any current and future closures of businesses such as Plaintiff's in New Jersey due to physical loss or damage from the Coronavirus and/or the pandemic and the policy provides business income coverage in the event that Coronavirus has caused a loss or damage at the Insured Property.

94. Plaintiff does not seek any determination of whether the Coronavirus is physically in or at the Insured Property, amount of damages, or any other remedy other than declaratory relief.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff herein prays as follows:

- a. For a declaration that the Orders constitute a prohibition of access to Plaintiff's Insured Property.
- b. To certify the class under Rule 23(b)(2).
- c. To direct notice to the class.
- d. For a declaration that the prohibition of access by the Orders is specifically prohibited access as defined in the Policy.
- e. For a declaration that the Orders trigger coverage under the Policy.
- f. For a declaration that the Policy provides coverage to Plaintiff for any current and future closures in New Jersey due to physical loss or damage directly or indirectly from the Coronavirus and/or pandemic circumstance under the Civil Authority coverage parameters.
- g. For a declaration that the Policy's exclusions for virus and bacteria do not apply to the circumstances presented in the lawsuit and the kind and types of damages and losses suffered by Plaintiff.
- h. For a declaration that Defendants' denial of coverage for losses sustained that were caused by the entry of the Civil Authority Orders referenced, and Plaintiff's adherence to the Civil Authority Orders violates public policy.
- i. For a declaration that under the circumstances of this Pandemic and the entry of the Civil Authority Orders referenced, Plaintiff's had no choice but to comply with the Civil Authority Orders, and that Plaintiff's compliance resulting in Plaintiff suffering business losses, business interruption and extended expenses is therefore a covered expense.
- j. For a declaration that the Policy provides coverage to Plaintiff for any current, future and continued closures of non-essential businesses due to physical loss or damage directly or indirectly from the Coronavirus.
- k. For a declaration that the Policy provides business income coverage in the event that Coronavirus has directly or indirectly caused a loss or damage at the Plaintiff's Insured Property or the immediate area of the Plaintiff's Insured Property.
- l. For such other relief as the Court may deem proper.

**TRIAL BY JURY IS DEMANDED**

Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury.

Dated: June 25, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael M. Weinkowitz

Arnold Levin, Esq.  
Laurence S. Berman, Esq.  
Frederick Longer, Esq.  
Daniel Levin, Esq.  
Michael M. Weinkowitz, Esq.  
**LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN, L.L.P.**  
510 Walnut Street, Suite 500  
Philadelphia, PA 19106-3697  
Telephone: (215) 592-1500  
[alevin@lfsblaw.com](mailto:alevin@lfsblaw.com)  
[lberman@lfsblaw.com](mailto:lberman@lfsblaw.com)  
[flonger@lfsblaw.com](mailto:flonger@lfsblaw.com)  
[dlevin@lfsblaw.com](mailto:dlevin@lfsblaw.com)  
[mweinkowitz@lfsblaw.com](mailto:mweinkowitz@lfsblaw.com)

Richard M. Golomb, Esq.  
Kenneth J. Grunfeld, Esq.  
**GOLOMB & HONIK, P.C.**  
1835 Market Street, Suite 2900  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Telephone: (215) 985-9177  
Facsimile: (215) 985-4169  
[rgolomb@golombhonik.com](mailto:rgolomb@golombhonik.com)  
[kgrunfeld@golombhonik.com](mailto:kgrunfeld@golombhonik.com)

W. Daniel "Dee" Miles, III, Esq.  
Rachel N. Boyd, Esq.  
Paul W. Evans, Esq.  
**BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN,  
PORTIS & MILES, P.C.**  
P.O. Box 4160  
Montgomery, Alabama 36103  
Telephone: (334) 269-2343  
Facsimile: (334) 954-7555

*Counsel for Plaintiff*