

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

THE BARN INVESTMENT LLC d/b/a THE BARN, FOUND INVESTMENT LLC, d/b/a FOUND, and AURORA RESTAURANT LLC d/b/a STOLP ISLAND SOCIAL, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,)	Case No. 1:20-cv-3142
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
vs.)	
)	
SOCIETY INSURANCE, A MUTUAL COMPANY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
_____)	

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The Barn Investment LLC d/b/a The Barn, Found Investment LLC, d/b/a Found, and Aurora Restaurant LLC d/b/a Stolp Island Social (“Plaintiffs”), by way of Complaint against Defendant Society Insurance, a Mutual Company (“Defendant” or “Society”) allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. On March 11, 2020, World Health Organization (“WHO”) Director General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus declared the COVID-19 outbreak a worldwide pandemic: “WHO has been assessing this outbreak around the clock and we are deeply concerned both by the alarming levels

of spread and severity, and by the alarming levels of inaction. We have therefore made the assessment that COVID-19 can be characterized as a pandemic.”¹

2. On March 16, 2020, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”), and members of the national Coronavirus Task Force issued to the American public guidance, styled as “30 Days to Slow the Spread” for stopping the spread of COVID-19. This guidance advised individuals to adopt far-reaching social distancing measures, such as working from home, avoiding shopping trips and gatherings of more than ten people, and staying away from bars, restaurants, and food courts.²

3. Following this advice for individuals to adopt far-reaching social distancing measures, and in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, many state government administrations across the nation recognized the need to take steps to protect the health and safety of their residents from the human-to-human and surface-to-human spread of COVID-19. As a result, many governmental entities entered civil authority orders suspending or severely curtailing business operations of non-essential businesses that interact with the public and provide gathering places for the individuals. Currently, almost all states within the United States have issued some sort of “stay-at-home” order and ordered private non-essential business operations to close.

4. The result of these far-reaching restrictions and prohibitions has been catastrophic for many businesses, especially restaurants and other foodservice businesses, as well as retail establishments, entertainment venues, and other small, medium, and large businesses who have

¹ See World Health Organization, *WHO Director-General’s opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020* (Mar. 11, 2020), <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19---11-march-2020>

² See *The President’s Coronavirus Guidelines for America, 30 Days to Slow the Spread*, WHITE HOUSE, https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/03.16.20_coronavirus-guidance_8.5x11_315PM.pdf (last visited Apr. 27, 2020).

been forced to close, furlough employees, and endure a sudden shutdown of cash flow that threatens their survival.

5. In addition to their contribution to the national economy in providing jobs, restaurants are also vital to the national spirit because shared meals are an important mental relief. As Oscar Wilde noted, “After a good dinner, one can forgive anybody, even one’s relations.”

6. Moreover, food is part of the national psyche. As Anthony Bourdain noted, “Food is everything we are. It’s an extension of nationalist feeling, ethnic feeling, your personal history, your province, your region, your tribe, your grandma. It’s inseparable from those from the get-go.”

7. Most businesses insure against such catastrophic events like the current unforeseen COVID-19 pandemic through all-risk commercial property insurance policies. These policies promise to indemnify the policyholder for actual business losses incurred when business operations are involuntarily suspended, interrupted, curtailed, when access to the premises is prohibited because of direct physical loss or damage to the property, or by a civil authority order that restricts or prohibits access to the property. This coverage is commonly known as “business interruption coverage” and is standard in most all-risk commercial property insurance policies.

8. Defendant, and most insurance companies who have issued all-risk commercial property insurance policies with business interruption coverage, are denying the obligation to pay for business income losses and other covered expenses incurred by policyholders for the physical loss and damage to the insured property from measures put in place by the civil authorities in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This action seeks a declaratory judgment that affirms that the COVID-19 pandemic and the corresponding response by civil authorities triggers coverage, has caused physical property loss and damage to the insured property, provides coverage for future

civil authority orders that result in future suspensions or curtailments of business operations, and finds that Defendant is liable for the losses suffered by policyholders.

9. In addition, this action brings a claim against Defendant for its breach of its contractual obligation under common all-risk commercial property insurance policies to indemnify Plaintiffs and others similarly situated who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

10. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of a proposed class of policyholders who paid premiums in exchange for all-risk commercial property insurance Policies that included lost business income and extra expense coverage.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

11. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332(d) in that this is a class action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and at least one member of the putative class is a citizen of a different State than that of Defendant.

12. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) in that a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District, and Defendant does business in this District and thus resides in this District, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §1391(c).

PARTIES

13. Plaintiff The Barn Investment LLC d/b/a The Barn ("The Barn") is an Illinois limited liability company with its principal place of business in Evanston, Illinois.

14. Plaintiff Found Investment LLC, d/b/a Found ("Found") is an Illinois limited liability company with its principal place of business in Evanston, Illinois.

15. Plaintiff Aurora Restaurant LLC d/b/a Stolp Island Social (“Stolp Island Social”) is an Illinois limited liability company with its principal place of business in Aurora, Illinois.

16. Plaintiffs own and operate food service related businesses in northern Illinois.

17. Defendant Society Insurance, A Mutual Company is a mutual insurance company organized under the laws of the State of Wisconsin with its principal place of business in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin. Society is duly licensed to issue insurance in the States of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

18. Society issued to The Barn Policy No. BP16023488-3 covering the policy period December 1, 2019 through December 1, 2020.

19. Society issued to Found Policy No. ROP 554816-7 covering the policy period August 1, 2019 through August 1, 2020.

20. Society issued to Stolp Island Social Policy No. BP19016236-0 covering the policy period May 6, 2019 through May 6, 2020.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

A. The Global COVID-19 Pandemic

21. Viruses of the family Coronaviridae, such as Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus (SARS-CoV), have been responsible for the loss of human life since at least 2002 and were identified in several animal hosts.³

³ See See Roujian Lu, et al., *Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding*, CTR. FOR DISEASE CONTROL, (Jan. 29, 2020), <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/genomic-characterization-of-2019-nCoV-Lancet-1-29-2020.pdf> (As a typical RNA virus, the average evolutionary rate for coronaviruses is roughly 10^{-4} nucleotide substitutions per site per year, with mutations arising during every replication cycle. This finding suggests that COVID-19 originated from one source within a short period and was detected rapidly. However, as the virus transmits to more individuals, constant surveillance of arising mutations is needed. The fact that at least one patient had not visited the market suggests either possible droplet transmission or

22. In December 2019, an initial cluster of patients with an unknown cause of viral pneumonia was found to be linked to the Huanan seafood market in Wuhan, China, where many non-aquatic animals such as birds were also on sale. However, at least one of the patients never visited the market, though he had stayed in a hotel nearby before the onset of the illness.⁴

23. By January 2020, genetic sequencing from patient samples was conducted to identify a novel virus, SARS-CoV-2, as the causative agent for the pneumonia cluster.⁵ SARS-CoV-2 is an RNA virus, with a crown-like appearance under an electron microscope because of glycoprotein spikes on its envelope. Among the functions of the structural proteins, the envelope has a crucial role in virus pathogenicity as it promotes viral assembly and release.⁶

24. The first confirmed case of the virus outside China was diagnosed on January 13, 2020, in Bangkok, Thailand with the number of cases exceedingly increasing worldwide. On January 30, 2020, the WHO declared the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak constituted a public health emergency of international concern, and by February 11, 2020, the disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 was named “COVID-19” by the WHO Director-General.⁷ As of April 23, 2020, the WHO reported a confirmed 2.5 million cases of COVID-19 globally and over 170,000 deaths, with the

that the patient was infected by a currently unknown source. Evidence of clusters of infected family members and medical workers has now confirmed the presence of human-to-human transmission.).

⁴ See *id.*; Francesco Di Gennaro et al., *Coronavirus Diseases (COVID-19) Current Status and Future Perspectives a Narrative Review*, MDPI: INT’L J. ENVTL. RESEARCH & PUB. HEALTH, (Apr. 1, 2020), <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/8/2690> (There are four genera of coronaviruses: (I) α -coronavirus (alphaCoV) and (II) β -coronavirus (betaCoV), which are probably present in bats and rodents; and (III) δ -coronavirus (deltaCoV) and (IV) γ -coronavirus (gammaCoV), which probably represent avian species.).

⁵ See Di Gennaro, *supra* note 4.

⁶ See *id.* (To address the pathogenetic mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2, its viral structure and genome must be considered. Coronaviruses are enveloped positive strand RNA viruses with the largest known RNA genomes – 30-32 kb – with a 5’-cap structure and 3’-poly-A tail.).

⁷ See *id.*

United States dealing with more than 800,000 confirmed cases and 40,000 deaths - more than any other country.⁸

25. The clinical features of COVID-19 vary from asymptomatic forms to fatal conditions of severe respiratory failure that requires ventilation and support in an intensive care unit (“ICU”). Pneumonia has been the most frequent severe manifestation of COVID-19, with symptoms of fever, cough, dyspnea, and bilateral infiltrates on chest imaging.⁹ There are no specific treatments recommended for COVID-19, and no vaccine is currently available; so understanding the complexities of COVID-19 is ongoing.¹⁰

26. It has now been discovered by scientists that COVID-19 has several modes of transmission. Pursuant to a “Situation Report” released by the WHO, the virus can be transmitted through symptomatic transmission, presymptomatic transmission, or asymptomatic transmission.¹¹ Symptomatic transmission refers to transmission by an individual who is experiencing symptoms associated with the virus who then transfers COVID-19 to another

⁸ See *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 94*, WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (Apr. 23, 2020) https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200423-sitrep-94-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=b8304bf0_4.

⁹ See Di Gennaro, *supra* note 4 (Asymptomatic infections have also been described, but their frequency is unknown. Other, less common symptoms have included headaches, sore throat, and rhinorrhea. Along with respiratory symptoms, gastrointestinal symptoms (*e.g.*, nausea and diarrhea) have also been reported, and in some patients, they may be the presenting complaint.).

¹⁰ See *id.* (The treatment is symptomatic, and oxygen therapy represents the major treatment intervention for patients with severe infection. Mechanical ventilation may be necessary in cases of respiratory failure refractory to oxygen therapy, whereas hemodynamic support is essential for managing septic shock. Different strategies can be used depending on the severity of the patient and local epidemiology. Home management is appropriate for asymptomatic or paucisymptomatic patients. They need a daily assessment of body temperature, blood pressure, oxygen saturation and respiratory symptoms for about 14 days. Management of such patients should focus on prevention of transmission to others and monitoring for clinical status with prompt hospitalization if needed.).

¹¹ See *World Health Organization, Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report – 73* (Apr. 3, 2020), https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200402-sitrep-73-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=5ae25bc7_2.

individual. Data from published studies provide evidence that COVID-19 is primarily transmitted from symptomatic people to others who are in close contact through respiratory droplets, by direct contact with infected persons, or by contact with contaminated objects and surfaces.¹²

27. The incubation period for COVID-19, which is the time between exposure to the virus (becoming infected) and symptom onset, averages 5-6 days, however, it can be up to 14 days.¹³ During this period, also known as the “presymptomatic” period, some infected persons can be contagious. For that reason, transmission from a presymptomatic case can occur before symptom onset. Presymptomatic transmission still requires the virus to be spread through infectious droplets or touching contaminated surfaces.¹⁴

28. An individual who does not develop symptoms, an asymptomatic case of COVID-19, can still transmit the virus to another. Though there are few documented cases reported, it does not exclude the possibility that it has or may occur.¹⁵

29. Not only is COVID-19 transmitted via human-to-human, but the WHO and scientific studies have confirmed that the virus can live on contaminated objects or surfaces. According to a study by scientists documented in *The New England Journal of Medicine*,

¹² See *id.* (Data from clinical and virologic studies that have collected repeated biological samples from confirmed patients provide evidence that shedding of the COVID-19 virus is highest in the upper respiratory tract (nose and throat) early in the course of the disease. That is, within the first three days from onset of symptoms. Preliminary data suggests that people may be more contagious around the time of symptom onset as compared to later on in the disease.).

¹³ See *id.*

¹⁴ See *id.* (In a small number of case reports and studies, presymptomatic transmission has been documented through contact tracing efforts and enhanced investigation of clusters of confirmed cases. This is supported by data suggesting that some people can test positive for COVID-19 from 1-3 days before they develop symptoms. Thus, it is possible that people infected with COVID-19 could transmit the virus before significant symptoms develop.)

¹⁵ See *id.*

COVID-19 was detectable in aerosols for up to three hours, up to four hours on copper, up to 24 hours on cardboard, and up to two to three days on plastic and stainless steel.¹⁶ All of these materials are used in the preparation and service of food by restaurants. The results of the study suggest that individuals could get COVID-19 through indirect contact with surfaces or objects used by an infected person, whether or not they were symptomatic.

30. Another scientific study documented in the *Journal of Hospital Infection* found that human coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV can remain infectious on inanimate surfaces at room temperature for up to nine days.¹⁷ At a temperature of 30 degrees Celsius or more, the duration of persistence is shorter. Contamination of frequently touched surfaces is, therefore, a potential source of viral transmission.¹⁸ Though this study was not conclusive on COVID-19 itself, scientists are still grappling to understand this implication.

¹⁶ See News Release, *New coronavirus stable for hours on surfaces*, NAT'L INSTS. OF HEALTH (Mar. 17, 2020), <https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/new-coronavirus-stable-hours-surfaces>; see also World Health Organization, *Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC* (Mar. 29, 2020), <https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-implications-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations> (Airborne transmission of COVID-19 “may be possible in specific circumstances and settings in which procedures or support treatments that generate aerosols are performed; *i.e.*, endotracheal intubation, bronchoscopy, open suctioning, administration of nebulized treatment, manual ventilation before intubation, turning the patient to the prone position, disconnecting the patient from the ventilator, non-invasive positive-pressure ventilation, tracheostomy, and cardiopulmonary resuscitation.”).

¹⁷ See G. Kampf et al., *Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents*, J. HOSPITAL INFECTION (Jan. 31, 2020), <https://www.journalofhospitalinfection.com/action/showPdf?pii=S0195-6701%2820%2930046-3>.

¹⁸ See *id.* (Although the viral load of coronaviruses on inanimate surfaces is not known during an outbreak situation, it seems plausible to reduce the viral load on surfaces by disinfection, especially of frequently touched surfaces in the immediate area surrounding a patient where the highest viral load can be expected. The WHO recommends ensuring that “environmental cleaning and disinfection procedures are followed consistently and correctly.”).

31. On March 27, 2020, the CDC released a report entitled “*Public Health Responses to COVID-19 Outbreaks on Cruise Ships - Worldwide, February - March 2020.*”¹⁹ The report detailed that during this time frame, COVID-19 outbreaks associated with three different cruise ship voyages caused over 800 confirmed cases and ten deaths.²⁰ Of the individuals tested, a high proportion were found to be asymptomatic, which may explain the high rates on cruise ships. What is interesting about this study though, is that COVID-19 was identified on a variety of surfaces in cabins of both symptomatic and asymptomatic infected passengers up to 17 days after cabins were vacated on the Diamond Princess cruise line, but before disinfection procedures had been conducted.²¹ The CDC notes that more studies are required to understand the perpetuation of

¹⁹ See Leah F. Moriarty, MPH, *Public Health Responses to COVID-19 Outbreaks on Cruise Ships - Worldwide, February - March 2020*, CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION (Mar. 27, 2020), https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/69/wr/mm6912e3.htm?s_cid=mm6912e3_w.

²⁰ See *id.* (“During February 7-23, 2020, the largest cluster of COVID-19 cases outside mainland China occurred on the Diamond Princess cruise ship, which was quarantined in the port of Yokohama, Japan, on February 3. . . . On March 6, cases of COVID-19 were identified in persons on the Grand Princess cruise ship off the coast of California; that ship was subsequently quarantined. By March 17, confirmed cases of COVID-19 had been associated with at least 25 additional cruise ship voyages. On February 21, CDC recommended avoiding travel on cruise ships in Southeast Asia; on March 8, this recommendation was broadened to include deferring all cruise ship travel worldwide for those with underlying health conditions and for persons [over] 65 years. On March 13, the Cruise Lines International Association announced a 30-day voluntary suspension of cruise operations in the United States. CDC issued a level 3 travel warning on March 17, recommending that all cruise travel be deferred worldwide.”).

²¹ See *id.* (“Cruise ships are often settings for outbreaks of infectious diseases because of their closed environment, contact between travelers from many countries, and crew transfers between ships. On the Diamond Princess, transmission largely occurred among passengers before quarantine was implemented, whereas crew infections peaked after quarantine. . . . On the Grand Princess, crew members were likely infected on voyage A and then transmitted [COVID-19] to passengers on voyage B. The results of testing of passengers and crew on board the Diamond Princess demonstrated a high proportion (46.5%) of asymptomatic infections at the time of testing. Available statistical models of the Diamond Princess outbreak suggest that 17.9% of infected persons never developed symptoms. . . . A high proportion of asymptomatic infections could partially explain the high attack rate among cruise ship passengers and crew. . . . Although these data cannot be used to determine whether transmission occurred from contaminated surfaces, further study of fomite transmission of [COVID-19] aboard cruise ships is warranted.”).

transmission, but what is clear is the uncertainty around COVID-19 and its implications for the lawful and safe functioning of a variety of businesses, most significantly, food service businesses.

32. Without a vaccine to protect against COVID-19, effective control of the outbreak relies on measures designed to reduce human-to-human and surface-to-human exposure. Recent information on the CDC's website provides that COVID-19 spreads when people are within six feet of each other or when a person comes in contact with a surface or object that has the virus on it.²² Various other sources state that close contact with a person with the virus or surfaces where the virus is found can transmit the virus.²³

33. The secondary exposure of the surface-to-humans is particularly acute in places where the public gathers typically to socialize, eat, drink, shop, be entertained, and go for recreation. This is why the CDC recommends that in viral outbreaks individuals who are infected stay at home and those who are not sick engage in preventive measures such as constant hand washing and avoiding activities that would bring them into close proximity of people with the virus or surfaces where the virus may reside. However, because these recommendations have proven ineffective to minimize the spread of COVID-19, containment efforts have led to civil authorities issuing orders closing many business establishments, including restaurants, bars, hotels, theaters, personal care salons, gyms, and schools, and mandating social distancing among the

²² See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *How COVID-19 Spreads*, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-COVID-spreads.html> (last visited Apr. 27, 2020).

²³ See Kampf, *supra* note 17 (remains infectious from two hours to 28 days depending on conditions); see also Nina Bai, *Why One Test May Not Be Enough*, UCSF (Feb. 13, 2020), <https://www.ucsf.edu/news/2020/02/416671/how-new-coronavirus-spreads-and-progresses-and-why-one-test-may-not-be-enough> (door knobs and table tops can contain the virus); Heather Murphy, *Surfaces? Sneezes? Sex? How the Coronavirus Can and Cannot Spread*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 19, 2020), <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/03/02/health/coronavirus-how-it-spreads.html> (virus can remain on metal and plastic for several days).

population. This has caused the cancelation of sporting events, parades, and concerts, the closure of amusement parks, and substantial travel restrictions. In addition, to conserve medical supplies, orders have been issued prohibiting the performance of non-urgent or non-emergency elective procedures and surgeries, forcing the suspension of operations at many medical, surgical, therapeutic, and dental practices.

34. Such “stay-at-home” orders are in effect in all but five states: more than 30 states have closed all non-essential businesses with additional states enacting measures to curtail business operations, all 50 states have closed schools, and nearly all states have closed restaurants and bars for services other than take-out and delivery (the “Closure Orders”).²⁴

35. For example, on March 15, 2020, Illinois Governor J.B. Pritzker issued Executive Order 2020-07, stating that “the number of suspected COVID-19 cases in Illinois is increasing exponentially” and mandating that from March 16, 2020 through March 30, 2020, “all businesses in the State of Illinois that offer food or beverages for on-premises consumption – including restaurants, bars, grocery stores, and food halls – must suspend service for and may not permit on-premises consumption.”²⁵ In enacting Executive Order 2020-07, Governor Pritzker noted that previous efforts to appeal to the public’s judgment to stay home were ineffective and stated, “[t]he time for persuasion and public appeals is over” and “[t]he time for action is here.”²⁶ On April 1, 2020, Governor Pritzker extended Executive Order 2020-07 and additional stay-at-home mandates

²⁴ See Kaiser Family Foundation, *State Data and Policy Actions to Address Coronavirus* (Apr. 27, 2020), <https://www.kff.org/health-costs/issue-brief/state-data-and-policy-actions-to-address-coronavirus/>.

²⁵ *Executive Order in Response to Covid-19 (Covid-19 Executive Order No. 5)*, STATE OF ILLINOIS (Mar. 16, 2020), <https://www2.illinois.gov/Documents/ExecOrders/2020/ExecutiveOrder-2020-07.pdf>.

²⁶ See Erik Runge, *All Illinois bars, dine-in restaurants will close to the public for 2 weeks due to COVID-19 concerns*, WGN9 (Mar. 15, 2020), <https://wgntv.com/news/coronavirus/all-bars-restaurants-to-be-closed-to-dine-in-customers-in-illinois-due-to-covid-19-concerns/>.

through April 30, 2020. As noted, orders similar in form or substance are in effect in states throughout the country.

36. Executive Order 2020-10 specifically noted that the purpose of not allowing sit-down meal service in food service establishments was to prevent the spread of COVID-19.²⁷

I. Restaurants for consumption off-premises. Restaurants and other facilities that prepare and serve food, but only for consumption off-premises, through such means as in-house delivery, third-party delivery, drive-through, curbside pick-up, and carry-out. Schools and other entities that typically provide food services to students or members of the public may continue to do so under this Executive Order on the condition that the food is provided to students or members of the public on a pick-up and takeaway basis only. Schools and other entities that provide food services under this exemption shall not permit the food to be eaten at the site where it is provided, or at any other gathering site due to the virus's propensity to physically impact surfaces and personal property.

B. Defendant's Standard Uniform All-Risk Commercial Property Insurance Policies

37. Defendant's insurance policies issued to Plaintiffs and the Class members are "all risk" commercial property policies which cover loss or damage to the covered premises resulting from all risks other than those expressly excluded.

38. Plaintiffs' Policies, as well as the Policies of other Class members, are standard forms that are used by Society for all insureds having applicable coverage and provide identical or substantially similar coverage for all Class members.

C. Plaintiffs' Factual Allegations

39. Among the coverages provided by the Policies was business interruption insurance, which, generally, would indemnify Plaintiffs for lost income and profits in the event that their business was shut down.

²⁷ *Executive Order in Response to Covid-19 (Covid-19 Executive Order No. 8)*, STATE OF ILLINOIS (Mar. 20, 2020), <https://www2.illinois.gov/Pages/Executive-Orders/ExecutiveOrder2020-10.aspx>.

40. The Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form, TBP2 (05-15), in Plaintiffs’

Policies provide:

- (a) We will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain due to the necessary suspension of your “operations” during the “period of restoration”. The suspension must be caused by direct physical loss of or damage to property at the described premises. The loss or damage must be caused by or result from a Covered Cause of Loss. With respect to loss of or damage to business personal property in the open or business personal property in a vehicle, the described premises includes the area within 100 feet of such premises. With respect to the requirements set forth in the preceding paragraph, if you occupy only part of the site at which the described premises are located, your premises means:
 - (i) The portion of the building which you rent, lease or occupy;
 - (ii) Any area within the building or at the described premises if that area services, or is used to gain access to, the portion of the building which you rent lease or occupy.
- (b) We will only pay for loss of Business Income that you sustain during the “period of restoration” and that occurs within 12 consecutive months after the date of direct physical loss or damage.

41. The Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form defines Business Income as:

- (i) Net Income (Net Profit or Loss before income taxes) that would have been earned or incurred if no physical loss or damage has occurred, but not including any Net Income that would likely have been earned as a result of an increase in the volume of business due to favorable business conditions caused by the impact of the Covered Cause of Loss on customers or on other businesses; and
- (ii) Continuing necessary operating expenses incurred.

42. The Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form further provides:

- (1) We will pay necessary Extra Expense you incur during the “period of restoration” that you would not have incurred if there had been no direct physical loss or damage to property at the described premises. The loss or damage must be caused by or result from a Covered Cause of Loss. With respect to loss of or damage to personal property in the open or personal property in a vehicle, the described premises includes the area within 100 feet of the described premises.

With respect to the requirements set forth in the preceding paragraph, if you occupy only part of the site at which the described premises are located, your premises means:

- (a) The portion of the building which you rent, lease or occupy;
 - (b) Any area within the building or at the described premises if that area services, or is used to gain access to, the portion of the building which you rent lease or occupy.
- (2) Extra Expense means expense incurred:
- (a) To avoid or minimize the suspension of business and to continue “operations”:
 - (i) At the described premises; or
 - (ii) At replacement premises or at temporary locations, including relocation expenses, and costs to equip and operate the replacement or temporary locations.
 - (b) To minimize the suspension of business if you cannot continue “operations”.
 - (c) To:
 - (i) Repair or replace any property; or
 - (ii) Research, replace or restore the lost information on damaged “valuable papers and records”
- (3) With respect to the coverage provided in this Additional Coverage, suspension means:
- (a) The partial slowdown or complete cessation of your business activities; or
 - (b) That a part or all of the described premises is rendered untenable, if coverage for Business Income applies.
- (4) We will only pay for Extra Expense that occurs within 12 consecutive months after the data [sic] of direct physical loss or damage. This Additional Coverage is not subject to the Limits of Insurance.

We will pay for Extra Expense only to the extent it reduces the amount of loss that otherwise would have been payable under Additional Coverage g. Business Income.

43. The Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form further provides:

Civil Authority

When a Covered Cause of Loss causes damage to property other than property at the described premises, we will pay for the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises, provided that both of the following apply:

- (1) Access to the area immediately surrounding the damaged property is prohibited by civil authority as a result of the damage, and the described premises are within that area; and
- (2) The action of civil authority is taken in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage or continuation of the Covered Cause of Loss that caused the damage, or the action is taken to enable a civil authority to have unimpeded access to the damaged property.

Civil Authority coverage for Business Income will begin immediately after the time of the first action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises and will apply for a period of up to four consecutive weeks from the date on which such coverage begins.

Civil Authority coverage for necessary Extra Expense will begin immediately after the time of first action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises and will end:

- (a) Four consecutive weeks after the date of that action; or
- (b) When your Civil Authority coverage for Business Income ends; whichever is later.

The definitions of Business Income and Extra Expense contained in the Business Income and Extra Expense Additional Coverages also apply to this Civil Authority Additional Coverage. The Civil Authority Additional Coverage is not subject to the Limits of Insurance.

44. Plaintiffs and all similarly situated Class members have suffered a direct physical loss of and damage to their property because they have been unable to use their property for its intended purpose.

45. The Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form does not contain any reference to pandemic or exclusions for pandemics. Despite the availability of template language used by other insurers to purportedly exclude coverage for certain losses relating to disease-causing agents, Defendant did not include any such language in the Special Property Coverage Form.

46. Notwithstanding the foregoing, by way of letters dated March 24, 2020, Society denied Plaintiffs' claims for business interruption coverage, claiming that Plaintiffs had not suffered a physical loss or damage as a result of being shut down by the Closure Orders.

D. The COVID-19 Pandemic has Affected Policyholders Nationwide.

47. The COVID-19 pandemic, including the actions taken by state officials, is physically impacting private commercial property throughout the United States, threatening the survival of thousands of restaurants, retail establishments, and other businesses that have had their business operations suspended or curtailed indefinitely by order of civil authorities.

48. It appears no insurer intends to cover any losses caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

49. For example, a bipartisan group from the U.S. House of Representatives sent a letter to various insurance industry trade groups on March 18, 2020, requesting that their members recognize financial losses relating to COVID-19 under the standard commercial interruption coverage. Specifically, the letter noted that "shelter-in-place" orders to combat COVID-19 throughout the country would have an economic impact on America's businesses, some of which had already "been forced to send employees home or shutter their doors due to a loss of economic activity." The letter stated, "[b]usiness interruption insurance is intended to protect businesses against income losses as a result of disruptions to their operations and recognizing income losses due to COVID-19 will help sustain America's businesses through these turbulent times, keep their

doors open, and retain employees on the payroll.”²⁸ In response, the industry trade groups stated: “Business interruption policies do not, and were not designed to, provide coverage against communicable diseases such as COVID-19.”²⁹ Upon information and belief, Society belongs to and supports the trade groups’ position.

50. In addition, many state departments of insurance have issued advisories to business owners that COVID-19 is not an insured peril and there will be no coverage for business interruption. This is disinformation being published to discourage business owners from filing claims.

51. For instance, Arkansas Insurance Department Bulletin No. 9-2020 states that “In most BII policies, coverage is triggered when the policyholder sustains *physical damage to insured property* caused by a covered peril resulting in quantifiable business interruption loss . . . [v]iruses and disease are typically NOT an insured peril unless added by endorsement.” (Emphasis in the original).³⁰

52. The South Carolina Department of Insurance issues “Guidance” on business interruption insurance stating that under the business income policy, there likely is no coverage from losses resulting from a virus.³¹

²⁸ Letter from Nydia M. Velazquez, Member of Congress, U.S. Congress, et al., to David Sampson, President and CEO, Am. Prop. Cas. Ins. Ass’n, et al. (Mar. 18, 2020) https://cunningham.house.gov/sites/cunningham.house.gov/files/wysiwyg_uploaded/Signed%20BII%20Letter_Final.pdf

²⁹ *Insurers Reject House Members’ Request to Cover Uninsured COVID Business Losses*, INS. J. (Mar. 20, 2020) <https://www.insurancejournal.com/news/national/2020/03/20/561810.htm>

³⁰ Bulletin No. 9-2020 from Arkansas Ins. Dep’t to Arkansas Ins. Consumers, et al. (Mar. 23, 2020) <https://insurance.arkansas.gov/uploads/resource/documents/9-2020.pdf>

³¹ S.C. DEP’T INS., HEALTH INSURANCE, COVID-19, <https://www.doi.sc.gov/948/COVID-19> (last visited May 27, 2020).

53. Members of the insurance industry have also been actively advising Insurance Commissioners that they do not intend to provide coverage for business interruption related to COVID-19. As a result, many small businesses that maintain commercial multi-peril insurance policies with business interruption coverage will have significant uninsured losses because the insurance industry is stating that such policies do not cover COVID-19.

54. For instance, the State of Connecticut Insurance Department, Maryland Insurance Administration, and the West Virginia Office of the Insurance Commissioner issued nearly identical notices supporting the insurance companies' reasons for denying business interruption claims, stating that the potential loss costs from such perils [like COVID-19] are so extreme that providing coverage would jeopardize the financial solvency of property insurers.³²

55. John F. King, Insurance and Safety Fire Commission for the State of Georgia issued Bulletin 20-EX-3 stating that losses from COVID-19 are excluded losses.³³ Vicki Schmidt, Kansas Insurance Department Commission issued a similar Bulletin stating it was her "understanding that it is unlikely that a business policy would cover losses related to COVID-19."³⁴

56. Other state governments expect that insurance companies will breach their obligation to provide coverage for business losses due to the COVID-19 pandemic and have

³² See State of Connecticut, Ins. Dep't, *** Notice: Business Interruption Insurance and the Novel Coronavirus ***, <https://portal.ct.gov/CID/Coronavirus/Business-Interruption-Insurance-Notice> (last visited May 27, 2020); Maryland Insurance Administration, *Maryland Insurance Administration Advisory on Business Interruption Insurance* (Mar. 18, 2020), <https://insurance.maryland.gov/Pages/newscenter/NewsDetails.aspx?NR=2020256>; Bulletin No. 20-08, to All Insurers, et al. from James A. Dodrill, Ins. Comm'r, W.V. Ins. (Mar. 26, 2020), <https://www.wvinsurance.gov/Portals/0/pdf/pressrelease/20-08%20Business%20Interruption%20Insurance.pdf?ver=2020-03-26-222830-620>.

³³ Bulletin 20-EX-3, to Georgia Consumers from John F. King, Ins. & Safety Fire Comm'r (Mar. 17, 2020), <https://www.oci.ga.gov/ExternalResources/Announcements/Bulletin-3172020-1619.pdf>.

³⁴ Kansas Insurance Department- COVID-19 FAQ (May 11, 2020) <https://insurance.ks.gov/documents/department/COVID19-FAQ.pdf>.

introduced bills requiring every insurance policy insuring against loss or damage to property, which includes the loss of use and occupancy and business interruption, be construed to include, among other covered perils, coverage for business interruption because of global virus transmission or pandemic.³⁵

57. A declaratory judgment determining that the business income loss, civil authority loss, and extra expense coverage provided in common all-risk commercial property insurance policies applies to the suspension, curtailment, and interruption of business operations resulting from measures put into place by civil authorities is necessary to prevent the Plaintiffs and similarly situated Class members from being denied critical coverage for which they have paid.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

58. Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly situated.

59. The Multi-State Class is defined as:

All entities who have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Society insuring property in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin, where such policies provide for business income loss and extra expense coverage and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

60. The Illinois Sub-Class is defined as:

All entities who have entered into standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Society insuring property in Illinois, where such policies provide for business income loss and extra expense coverage and do not exclude coverage for pandemics, and who have suffered losses due to measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020.

³⁵ See House Bill No. 858, State of Louisiana House of Representatives. Similar legislation has been introduced in Massachusetts (Senate Bill Senate Docket No. 2888); New Jersey (Assembly No. 3844); State of New York (Assembly 10226); and Ohio (House Bill No. 589).

Excluded from each class are the Defendant, its employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, successors, and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies; Class Counsel and their employees; and the judicial officers and their immediate family members and associated court staff assigned to this case.

61. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify, expand, or amend the definitions of the proposed classes following the discovery period and before the Court determines whether class certification is appropriate.

62. Certification of Plaintiffs' claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiffs can prove the elements of their claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.

E. Numerosity

63. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(1). The Class numbers at least in the hundreds and consists of geographically dispersed business entities who are insured for business interruption losses. Society sells its insurance policies in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, Tennessee, and Wisconsin and therefore joinder of the Class members is impracticable.

64. The identity of Class members is ascertainable, as the names and addresses of all Class members can be identified in Society or their agent's books and records. Plaintiffs anticipate providing appropriate notice to the certified Class in compliance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(A) and/or (B), to be approved by the Court after class certification, or pursuant to court order under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(d).

F. Typicality

65. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(3) because Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of each of the Class members, as all Class members were and are

similarly affected and their claims arise from the same all-risk commercial property insurance policy provisions entered into with Society. Each Class member's insurance policy contains the same form providing coverage for business income loss. None of the forms exclude coverage due to a governmental action intended to reduce the effect of the ongoing global pandemic. As a result, a declaratory judgment as to the rights and obligations under Plaintiffs' Policies will address the rights and obligations of all Class members.

G. Adequacy of Representation

66. Plaintiffs are committed to prosecuting the action, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class, and have retained counsel competent and experienced in class action litigation, including litigation relating to insurance policies. Plaintiffs are aware of no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with other members of the Class. Plaintiffs anticipate no difficulty in the management of this litigation as a class action.

H. Commonality

67. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(2) because there are questions of law and fact that are common to each of the classes. These common questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Class members. The questions of law and fact common to the Class include, but are not limited to:

(a) Whether there is an actual controversy between Plaintiffs and Society as to the rights, duties, responsibilities and obligations of the parties under the business interruption coverage provisions in standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies;

(b) Whether measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic are excluded from Plaintiffs' and the Class members' standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies;

(c) Whether the measures put in place by civil authorities' stay-at-home or shelter-in-place orders since March 15, 2020 caused physical loss or damage to covered commercial property;

(d) Whether Society has repudiated and anticipatorily breached the all-risk commercial property insurance policies it issued with business interruption coverage by intending to deny claims for coverage; and

(e) Whether Plaintiffs and the Class members suffered damages as a result of the anticipatory breach by Society.

I. Superiority/Predominance

68. This action satisfies the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3). A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the rights of the Class members. The joinder of individual Class members is impracticable because of the vast number of Class members who have entered into the standard all-risk commercial property insurance policies with Society.

69. Because a declaratory judgment as to the rights and obligations under the uniform all-risk commercial property insurance policies will apply to all Class members, most or all Class members would have no rational economic interest in individually controlling the prosecution of specific actions. The burden imposed on the judicial system by individual litigation, and to Society, by even a small fraction of the Class members, would be substantial.

70. In comparison to piecemeal litigation, class action litigation presents far fewer management difficulties, far better conserves the resources of both the judiciary and the parties, and far more effectively protects the rights of each Class member. The benefits to the legitimate interests of the parties, the Court, and the public resulting from class action litigation substantially outweigh the expenses, burdens, inconsistencies, economic infeasibility, and inefficiencies of

individualized litigation. Class adjudication is superior to other alternatives under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3)(D). Class treatment will also avoid the substantial risk of inconsistent factual and legal determinations on the many issues in this lawsuit.

71. Plaintiffs know of no obstacles likely to be encountered in the management of this action that would preclude its maintenance as a class action. Rule 23 provides the Court with the authority and flexibility to maximize the efficiencies and benefits of the class mechanism and reduce management challenges. The Court may, on motion of Plaintiffs or on its own determination, certify nationwide and statewide classes for claims sharing common legal questions; use the provisions of Rule 23(c)(4) to certify particular claims, issues, or common questions of law or of fact for class-wide adjudication; certify and adjudicate bellwether class claims; and use Rule 23(c)(5) to divide any Class into subclasses.

COUNT I

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass)

72. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-71 as if fully set forth herein.

73. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass.

74. Plaintiffs' Society Policies, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Society was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

75. On information and belief, Plaintiffs and other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Society or Society is estopped from asserting them, and yet Society has abrogated its insurance coverage

obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and has wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled.

76. On information and belief, Society has denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class-wide basis, without individual bases or investigations, so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

77. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' rights and Society obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiffs and Class members for the full amount of Business Income losses incurred by Plaintiffs and the other Class members in connection with the suspension of their businesses stemming from Closure Orders.

78. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiffs and the other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' Business Income losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Society is obligated to pay Plaintiffs and other Class members for the full amount of the Business Income losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the Closure Orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT II

BREACH OF CONTRACT – BUSINESS INCOME COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass)

79. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-78 as if fully set forth herein.

80. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass.

81. Plaintiffs' Society Policies, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Society was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

82. In the Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form, Society agreed to pay for its insureds' actual loss of Business Income sustained due to the necessary suspension of its operations during the "period of restoration."

83. In the Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form, Society agreed to pay for its insureds' actual loss of Business Income sustained due to the necessary "suspension of [their] 'operations'" during the "'period of restoration'" caused by direct physical loss or damage.

84. "Business Income" under the Policies means the "Net Income (Net Profit or Loss before income taxes) that would have been earned or incurred if no physical loss or damage had occurred," as well as "[c]ontinuing normal operating expenses incurred".

85. The Closure Orders caused direct physical loss and damage to Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' Covered Properties, requiring suspension of operations at the Covered Properties. Losses caused by the Closure Orders thus triggered the Business Income provision of Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' Society policies.

86. On information and belief, Plaintiffs and the other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of their policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Society or Society is estopped from asserting them, and yet Society has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

87. By denying coverage for any Business Income losses incurred by Plaintiffs and other Class members as a result of the Closure Orders and Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Society has breached its coverage obligations under the Policies.

88. As a result of Society's breaches of the Policies, Plaintiffs and the other Class members have sustained substantial damages for which Society is liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT III

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass)

89. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-88 as if fully set forth herein.

90. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass.

91. Plaintiffs' Society Policies, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Society was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiffs' and other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

92. On information and belief, Plaintiffs and Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Society or Society is estopped from asserting them, and yet Society has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and has wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled.

93. On information and belief, Society has denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class wide basis, without individual bases or investigations, so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

94. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiffs' and other Class members' rights and Society obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiffs and other Class members for the full amount of covered Civil Authority losses incurred by Plaintiffs and other Class members in connection with Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from the Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

95. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiffs and other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiffs' and other Class members' Civil Authority losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from the COVID-19 pandemic are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Society is obligated to pay Plaintiffs and other Class members the full amount of the Civil Authority losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered losses related to the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT IV

BREACH OF CONTRACT – CIVIL AUTHORITY COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass)

96. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-95 as if fully set forth herein.

97. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass.

98. Plaintiffs' Policies, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Society was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

99. The Society Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form provides “Civil Authority” coverage, which promises to pay “the actual loss of Business Income you sustain and necessary Extra Expense caused by action of civil authority that prohibits access to the described premises” due to damage to property other than property at the described premises.

100. The Closure Orders triggered the Civil Authority provision under Plaintiffs’ and the other members of the Class’s Society Policies.

101. On information and belief, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Society or Society is estopped from asserting them, and yet Society has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies’ clear and unambiguous terms.

102. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiffs and other members of the Class in connection with the Closure Orders and Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Society has breached its coverage obligations under the Policies.

103. As a result of Society’s breaches of the Policies, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Society is liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

COUNT V

DECLARATORY JUDGMENT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass)

104. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-103 as if fully set forth herein.

105. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and the Illinois subclass.

106. Plaintiffs' Society Policies, as well as those of other Class members, are contracts under which Society was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiffs' and other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

107. On information and belief, Plaintiffs and other Class members have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Society or Society is estopped from asserting them, and yet Society has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms and has wrongfully and illegally refused to provide coverage to which Plaintiffs and Class members are entitled.

108. On information and belief, Society has denied claims related to COVID-19 on a uniform and class wide basis, without individual bases or investigations, so the Court can render declaratory judgment no matter whether members of the Class have filed a claim.

109. An actual case or controversy exists regarding Plaintiffs' and other Class members' rights and Society obligations under the Policies to reimburse Plaintiffs and the other Class members for the full amount of Extra Expense losses incurred by Plaintiffs and Class members in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from Orders in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

110. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2201, Plaintiffs and other Class members seek a declaratory judgment from this Court declaring the following:

(a) Plaintiffs' and other Class members' Extra Expense losses incurred in connection with the Closure Orders and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders are insured losses under their Policies; and

(b) Society is obligated to pay Plaintiffs and other Class members for the full amount of the Extra Expense losses incurred and to be incurred in connection with the covered

losses related to the Closure Orders during the period of restoration and the necessary interruption of their businesses stemming from such Orders.

COUNT VI

BREACH OF CONTRACT – EXTRA EXPENSE COVERAGE (Claim Brought on Behalf of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass)

111. Plaintiffs repeat the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-110 as if fully set forth herein.

112. Plaintiffs bring this Count individually and on behalf of the other members of the Multi-State Class and Illinois Subclass.

113. Plaintiffs' Society Policies, as well as those of the other Class members, are contracts under which Society was paid premiums in exchange for its promise to pay Plaintiffs' and the other Class members' losses for claims covered by the Policies.

114. In the Businessowners Special Property Coverage Form, Society also agreed to pay necessary Extra Expense that its insureds incur during the "period of restoration" that the insureds would not have incurred if there had been no direct physical loss or damage to the described premises. "Extra Expense" includes expenses "[t]o avoid or minimize the suspension of business and to continue 'operations,'" "[t]o minimize the suspension of business if [it] cannot continue 'operations,'" and to repair or replace property.

115. Due to the Closure Orders, Plaintiffs and other members of the Class incurred Extra Expense at Covered Property.

116. On information and belief, Plaintiffs and other members of the Class have complied with all applicable provisions of the Policies and/or those provisions have been waived by Society or Society is estopped from asserting them, and yet Society has abrogated its insurance coverage obligations pursuant to the Policies' clear and unambiguous terms.

117. By denying coverage for any business losses incurred by Plaintiffs and other members of the Class in connection with the Closure Orders and Orders intended in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, Society has breached its coverage obligations under the Policies.

118. As a result of Society's breaches of the Policies, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class have sustained substantial damages for which Society is liable, in an amount to be established at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all similarly situated individuals, demand judgment against Defendant as follows:

A. Declaring this action to be a proper class action maintainable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and Rule 23(b)(3) and declaring Plaintiffs and its counsel to be representatives of the Class;

B. Issuing a Declaratory Judgment declaring the Parties' rights and obligations under the insurance policies;

C. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class compensatory damages from Society's breach of the insurance policies in an amount to be determined at trial, together with appropriate prejudgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;

D. Awarding Plaintiffs and the Class costs and disbursements and reasonable allowances for the fees of Plaintiffs' and the Class's counsel and experts, and reimbursement of expenses; and

E. Awarding such other and further relief the Court deems just, proper, and equitable, including any amounts pursuant to 215 ILCS 5/155.

DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs and the Class request a jury trial for all Counts for which a trial by jury is permitted by law.

DATED: May 28, 2020

ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP

/s/ James E. Barz

JAMES E. BARZ

James E. Barz (IL Bar #6255605)
Frank A. Richter (IL Bar #6310011)
William J. Edelman (IL Bar #6332368)
Gina M. Buschatzke (IL Bar #6332510)
200 South Wacker Drive, 31st Floor
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312/674-4674
312/674-4676 (fax)
jbarz@rgrdlaw.com
frichter@rgrdlaw.com
wedelman@rgrdlaw.com
gbuschatzke@rgrdlaw.com

Samuel H. Rudman
ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
58 South Service Road, Suite 200
Melville, NY 11747
Telephone: 631/367-7100
srudman@rgrdlaw.com

Paul J. Geller
Stuart A. Davidson
ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
& DOWD LLP
120 East Palmetto Park Road, Suite 500
Boca Raton, FL 33432
Telephone: 561/750-3000
561/750-3364 (fax)

James E. Cecchi
Lindsey H. Taylor
CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI
OLSTEIN, BRODY & AGNELLO
5 Becker Farm Road
Roseland, NJ 07068
Telephone: 973/994-1700
jcecchi@carellabyrne.com
ltaylor@carellabyrne.com

Christopher A. Seeger
Stephen A. Weiss
SEEGER WEISS
55 Challenger Road, 6th Floor
Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660
Telephone: 973/639-9100
cseeger@seegerweiss.com
sweiss@seegerweiss.com

Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs