

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

SEVEN, LLC D/B/A SEVEN, individually and  
on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

ACE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY  
INSURANCE COMPANY,

Defendant.

No.

COMPLAINT—CLASS ACTION

JURY DEMAND

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff Seven, LLC d/b/a Seven (“Plaintiff” or “Seven”), individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated members of the defined national class, and the defined Washington State subclasses (collectively, the “Class Members”), by and through the undersigned attorneys, brings this class action against Defendant ACE Property and Casualty Insurance Company (“Defendant” or “ACE”) and alleges as follows based on personal knowledge and information and belief:

**II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), because at least one member of the proposed Class is a citizen



1 hair care services to the general public, including washes, cuts, coloring, hair styling, and related  
2 retail products.

3 6. Defendant ACE is an insurance carrier incorporated and domiciled in  
4 Pennsylvania with its principal place of business in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

5 7. Defendant ACE is licensed to write, sell, and issue business insurance policies in  
6 all fifty states and the District of Columbia. Defendant conducted business within these states by  
7 selling and issuing business insurance policies to policyholders, including Plaintiff.

8 8. Defendant is vicariously liable for the acts and omissions of its employees and  
9 agents.  
10

11 **IV. NATURE OF THE CASE**

12 9. Plaintiff Seven is a hair salon and personal care business. Services provided by  
13 Seven include hair cutting, styling, washing, and coloring, which rely on salon property that  
14 includes chairs, sinks, scissors, blow dryers, and other hair care property and equipment.  
15

16 10. At all relevant times, Seven was licensed by the Washington State Department of  
17 Licensing – Business and Professions Division as a “Salon/Shop” to provide hair cutting and hair  
18 styling services at, and only at, its specific address inside Bellevue Square Mall.

19 11. Historic photographs of Seven below depict portions of its interior, some of its  
20 equipment, and its mall-based entrance:  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26





23 12. This lawsuit is filed to ensure that Plaintiff and other similarly-situated  
24 policyholders receive the insurance benefits to which they are entitled and for which they paid.

25 13. Defendant issued one or more “all-risk” insurance policies to Plaintiff (the  
26 “Policy”), which provide broad property and business interruption coverage.

1 14. Washington law at RCW 48.11.040 defines “property insurance” as “insurance  
2 against loss of or damage to real or personal property of every kind and any interest therein, from  
3 any or all hazard or cause, and against loss consequential upon such loss or damage.”

4 15. The Policy includes a “Businessowners Coverage Form” and related  
5 endorsements, with coverages that include Business Income and Extra Expense, Extended  
6 Business Income, and Civil Authority coverages, insuring Seven’s property, business equipment  
7 and property, and business income, with effective dates of February 1, 2020 to February 1, 2021.

8 16. The Policy described Seven’s business in its “Businessowners Policy  
9 Declarations” as “Services,” with a “Classification” of “Hair Styling Salons.” The governmental  
10 response to the COVID-19 pandemic did not permit any operations or access to premises  
11 consistent with “Hair Styling Salons.”

12 17. Plaintiff’s business property includes property owned and/or leased by Plaintiff  
13 and used by Plaintiff and its customers for its specified business purposes, including for hair care  
14 and hair styling. Access to some or all of this property and Plaintiff’s premises was prohibited by  
15 the governmental response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

16 18. Defendant promised to pay Plaintiff for “direct physical loss of or physical  
17 damage to” covered property, and its Policy includes coverages for risks of both “loss of or  
18 damage to” covered property.

19 19. The Policy expressly defines “Covered Causes of Loss” as meaning “direct  
20 physical loss.”

21 20. On information and belief, Defendant and affiliated entities owned by the same  
22 parent company issued materially identical policies to thousands of businesses throughout the  
23 State of Washington and in other states.

1 21. Plaintiff paid all premiums for the coverage when due.

2 22. On or about January 2020, the United States of America saw its first cases of  
3 persons infected by COVID-19, which has been designated a worldwide pandemic.

4 23. COVID-19 is a highly contagious virus that rapidly and easily spreads; it  
5 continues to spread across the United States, including in the State of Washington.

6 24. In many infected persons, COVID-19 causes severe illness and requires  
7 hospitalization, including intubation. The virus has killed over 546,000 people in the United  
8 States to date.<sup>1</sup> Persons who survive the virus have experienced ongoing cognitive, neurological,  
9 and physical impacts from the virus, even after the virus is no longer actively detected in their  
10 bodies.  
11

12 25. The COVID-19 virus is a physical substance that spreads from person to person  
13 through respiratory droplets that reach another person and that are produced when an infected  
14 person breathes, talks, coughs, or sneezes. It also spreads when virus respiratory droplets are  
15 exhaled and aerosolized, and deposited on a surface or object (e.g., hair brushes, equipment  
16 handles, machinery, doorknobs, touch screens, computer keyboards, water fountains, and writing  
17 or eating utensils) and those objects are then touched by another person who then touches their  
18 own mouth, nose, or eyes. COVID-19 is caused by a novel (new) coronavirus that has not  
19 previously been seen in humans.<sup>2</sup>  
20  
21

22 26. COVID-19 remains stable and transmittable in aerosols for up to three hours and  
23 up to two or three days on certain surfaces. Persons infected with COVID-19 can be  
24

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> *COVID Data Tracker*, Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention, <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#datatracker-home> (last visited Mar. 29, 2021).

<sup>2</sup> *See, e.g., Coronavirus (COVID-19): Frequently Asked Questions*, Ctrs. for Disease Control & Prevention (updated Mar. 17, 2021), <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/faq.html>.

1 asymptomatic. COVID-19 is spread by breathing, talking, and touching shared or common  
2 objects or surfaces. Guidance issued by the United States Centers for Disease Control and  
3 Prevention (“CDC”) recommends avoiding indoor activities and maintaining social distance of at  
4 least six feet between people to slow or stop the spread of COVID-19.<sup>3</sup>

5 27. Public health data throughout the United States, and on a state-by-state basis,  
6 shows that COVID-19 has been detected in every state.

7 28. The first confirmed case of COVID-19 in King County, and indeed nationwide,  
8 was noted on January 21, 2020.<sup>4</sup>

9 29. Public health data throughout the United States shows the number of COVID-19  
10 tests administered, the rate of positive testing, the numbers of persons diagnosed with COVID-  
11 19, the number of persons hospitalized due to COVID-19, the number of deaths from COVID-  
12 19, and other related statistics, by state, county, and sometimes by city.<sup>5</sup>

13 30. As of March 26, 2021, at least 338,658 individuals in the State of Washington  
14 have tested positive for COVID-19, 20,363 have been hospitalized, and 5,218 have died due to  
15 the COVID-19 virus.<sup>6</sup>

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22 <sup>3</sup> *Coronavirus (COVID-19): Personal and Social Activities*, CDC (updated Feb. 19, 2021),  
<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/daily-life-coping/personal-social-activities.html>.

23 <sup>4</sup> Casey McNertney, *Coronavirus in Washington State: A Timeline of the Outbreak Through March 2020*, KIRO 7  
24 News (updated Apr. 3, 2020, 2:03 AM), <https://www.kiro7.com/news/local/coronavirus-washington-state-timeline-outbreak/IM65JK66N5BYTIAPZ3FUZSKMUE/>.

25 <sup>5</sup> See, e.g., *Coronavirus in the U.S.: Latest Map and Case Count*, N.Y. Times (updated Mar. 29, 10:12 AM),  
<https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/us/coronavirus-us-cases.html?action=click&module=Top%20Stories&pgtype=Homepage> (reflecting COVID-19 statistics, on a state-by-state, county-by-county, and aggregated  
26 basis since March 2020).

<sup>6</sup> *COVID-19 Data Dashboard*, Wash. State Dep’t of Health (last updated Mar. 27, 2021, 3:45 PM),  
<https://www.doh.wa.gov/Emergencies/COVID19/DataDashboard>.



1 31. As of March 26, 2021, at least 87,097 individuals in King County have tested  
2 positive for COVID-19, 5,320 have been hospitalized, and 1,458 have died due to the COVID-19  
3 virus.<sup>7</sup>

4 32. The presence of any COVID-19 aerosolized or suspended droplets or particles in  
5 the air or otherwise circulating in an indoor environment renders that physical space, or physical  
6 property within that space, unsafe and unusable.

7 33. The presence of any COVID-19 aerosolized or suspended droplets or particles in  
8 the air or otherwise circulating in an indoor environment causes direct physical damage to  
9 property and/or direct physical loss of property.

10 34. The presence of any COVID-19 droplets or particles on physical surfaces renders  
11 items of physical property unsafe and unusable.

12 35. The presence of any COVID-19 droplets or particles on physical surfaces causes  
13 direct physical damage to property and/or direct physical loss of property.

14 36. The presence of people infected with or carrying COVID-19 particles at premises  
15 renders the premises, including property located at that premises, unsafe and unusable, resulting  
16 in direct physical damage and/or direct physical loss to the premises and property.

17 37. Loss of functionality of property that has not otherwise been physically altered  
18 constitutes direct physical loss of property and/or direct physical damage to property.

19 38. Other premises and businesses in close proximity to Plaintiff were closed and  
20 suffered direct physical loss. This occurred at least through governmental orders affecting many  
21 such places.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

---

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

1 39. On February 29, 2020, Washington Governor Jay Inslee issued Proclamation 20-  
2 05, declaring a State of Emergency for all counties in the State of Washington as the result of the  
3 COVID-19 outbreak.<sup>8</sup>

4 40. Thereafter, Governor Inslee issued a series of certain proclamations and orders  
5 affecting many persons and businesses in the State of Washington, whether infected with  
6 COVID-19 or not, requiring certain public health precautions.

7 41. Some local agencies acted even more quickly than the state. On February 27,  
8 2020, the Northshore School District closed Bothell High School to completely disinfect the  
9 entire school because of concerns about the safety of school property stemming from COVID-  
10 19.<sup>9</sup> On March 2, 2020, Everett Public Schools Superintendent Dr. Ian B. Saltzman made a  
11 similar announcement closing schools, highlighting that Everett Schools would clean both  
12 schools and school buses.<sup>10</sup>

13 42. Issued March 12, 2020, Proclamation 20-08 closed all public and private K-12  
14 schools in King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties.<sup>11</sup> Issued March 13, 2020, Proclamation 20-09,  
15 “Statewide K-12 School Closures,” extended the school closure to the entire state.<sup>12</sup>  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 <sup>8</sup> Proclamation 20-05 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee (Feb. 29, 2020),

[https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-05%20Coronavirus%20\(final\).pdf](https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-05%20Coronavirus%20(final).pdf).

23 <sup>9</sup> See Michelle Reid, *Letter to Families: Bothell High School Closure*, Northshore Sch. District (Feb. 26, 2020),

<https://www.nsd.org/blog/~board/superintendent-blog/post/letter-to-families-bothell-high-school-closure>.

24 <sup>10</sup> See Ian B. Saltzman, *Superintendent’s Message, March 2, 2020*, Everett Pub. Schools (Mar. 2, 2020),

<https://www.everettsd.org/Page/32622>.

25 <sup>11</sup> Proclamation 20-08 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee (Mar. 12, 2020),

<https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-08%20Coronavirus%20%28tmp%29.pdf>

26 <sup>12</sup> Proclamation 20-09 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Statewide K-12 School Closures* (Mar. 13, 2020),

<https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-09%20Coronavirus%20Schools%20Amendment%20%28tmp%29.pdf>.

1 43. On March 13, 2020, Governor Inslee also issued Proclamation 20-11, “Statewide  
2 Limits on Gatherings,” which prohibited all gatherings of 250 people or more in all Washington  
3 counties, including King County.<sup>13</sup>

4 44. Issued March 16, 2020, Proclamation 20-13, “Statewide Limits: Food and  
5 Beverage Services, Areas of Congregation,” closed all non-essential retail including theaters,  
6 performance venues, dance studios, bowling alleys, fitness centers, barbershops and hair salons,  
7 nail salons, tattoo parlors, and indoor dining at restaurants.<sup>14</sup>

8 45. Proclamation 20-13 states that “the COVID-19 disease has and continues to  
9 spread quickly across the [S]tate of Washington, beyond the original community outbreaks in  
10 King, Pierce, and Snohomish counties.”<sup>15</sup>

11 46. Proclamation 20-13 prohibits “any number of people from gathering in any public  
12 venue in which people congregate for purposes of public entertainment, recreation, food and  
13 beverage service, theater, bowling, fitness and other similar activities.”<sup>16</sup> The proclamation  
14 further prohibits “the operation of public venues in which people congregate for entertainment,  
15 social or recreational purposes, including but not limited to . . . barbershops and hair/nail salons  
16 . . . and other similar venues, which prohibition shall remain in effect until midnight on March  
17 31, 2020, unless extended beyond that date.”<sup>17</sup>  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

---

23 <sup>13</sup> Proclamation 20-11 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Statewide Limits on Gatherings* at p. 2 (Mar. 13, 2020),  
24 [https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-  
11%20Coronavirus%20Gatherings%20Amendment%20%28tmp%29.pdf](https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-11%20Coronavirus%20Gatherings%20Amendment%20%28tmp%29.pdf).

25 <sup>14</sup> Proclamation 20-13 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Statewide Limits: Food and Beverage Services, Areas of  
Congregation* at p. 2 (Mar. 16, 2020), [https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-  
13%20Coronavirus%20Restaurants-Bars%20%28tmp%29.pdf](https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-13%20Coronavirus%20Restaurants-Bars%20%28tmp%29.pdf).

26 <sup>15</sup> *Id.* at p. 1.

<sup>16</sup> *Id.* at p. 2.

<sup>17</sup> *Id.* at p. 3.

1 47. Proclamation 20-13 states that one of the reasons for its restrictions is that “the  
2 worldwide COVID-19 pandemic and its progression in Washington State continues to threaten  
3 the life and health of our people as well as the economy of Washington State, and remains a  
4 public disaster affecting life, health, property or the public peace.”<sup>18</sup>

5 48. On information and belief, Proclamation 20-13 refers to property damage  
6 throughout the State of Washington, including in King County where Plaintiff’s business is  
7 located.  
8

9 49. On March 16, 2020, Governor Inslee also issued Proclamation 20-14, “Reduction  
10 of Statewide Limits on Gatherings,” which prohibited gatherings of fifty people or more in all  
11 Washington counties, including King County, and further prohibited gatherings of fewer people  
12 unless organizers of such gatherings complied with social distancing and sanitation guidelines.<sup>19</sup>  
13

14 50. Issued March 19, 2020, Proclamation 20-24, “Restrictions on Non Urgent  
15 Medical Procedures,” placed restrictions on non-urgent medical and dental services, largely  
16 shuttering those offices.<sup>20</sup>

17 51. On March 23, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25, “Stay Home –  
18 Stay Healthy.”<sup>21</sup> The proclamation, which amends Proclamation 20-05, requires that “[a]ll  
19 people in Washington State [ ] immediately cease leaving their home or place of residence  
20 except: (1) to conduct or participate in essential activities, and/or (2) for employment in essential  
21

22 \_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>18</sup> *Id.* at p. 1.

23 <sup>19</sup> Proclamation 20-14 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Reduction of Statewide Limits on Gatherings* at p. 2 (Mar. 16,  
24 2020), <https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-14%20COVID-19%20-%20Prohibitions%20on%20Gatherings%20%28tmp%29.pdf>.

25 <sup>20</sup> Proclamation 20-24 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Restrictions on Non Urgent Medical Procedures* (Mar. 19,  
26 2020), <https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-24%20COVID-19%20non-urgent%20medical%20procedures%20%28tmp%29.pdf>.

<sup>21</sup> Proclamation 20-25 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, *Stay Home – Stay Healthy* (Mar. 23, 2020),  
<https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/20-25%20Coronavirus%20Stay%20Safe-Stay%20Healthy%20%28tmp%29%20%28002%29.pdf>.

1 business services.”<sup>22</sup> The proclamation prohibits “all non-essential businesses in Washington  
2 State from conducting business, within the limitations provided herein.”<sup>23</sup>

3 52. Proclamation 20-25 continues a State of Emergency, and states that “the  
4 worldwide COVID-19 pandemic and its progression in Washington State continues to threaten  
5 the life and health of our people as well as the economy of Washington State, and remains a  
6 public disaster affecting life, health, property or the public peace.”<sup>24</sup>

7  
8 53. On November 15, 2020, Governor Inslee issued Proclamation 20-25.8, “Stay Safe  
9 – Stay Healthy” “Rollback of County-by-County Phased Reopening Responding to a COVID-19  
10 Outbreak Surge,” which amended Proclamations 20-05 and 20-25, *et seq.*<sup>25</sup> The proclamation  
11 again closed restaurants and bars for indoor dine-in service.<sup>26</sup>

12 54. Proclamation 20-25.8 also prohibits or limits indoor operations at a variety of  
13 business locations throughout King County and elsewhere in the State of Washington, including  
14 but not limited to restaurants and bars, bowling centers, movie theaters, museums, personal  
15 services including hairstylists and barbers, and in-store retail.<sup>27</sup>

16  
17 55. Governor Inslee’s proclamations and orders related to the COVID-19 pandemic  
18 (collectively, “the proclamations and orders”) have been extended and modified from time to  
19 time.

20  
21 56. By order of Governor Inslee, hair salons, including Seven, were prohibited from  
22 operating their businesses except according to the terms of the proclamations and orders.

23  
24 <sup>22</sup> *Id.* at p. 3.

<sup>23</sup> *Id.* at p. 2.

<sup>24</sup> *Id.* at p. 1.

<sup>25</sup> Proclamation 20-25.8 of Washington Gov. Jay Inslee, “*Stay Safe – Stay Healthy*” *Rollback of County-by-County Phased Reopening Responding to a COVID-19 Outbreak Surge* (Mar. 23, 2020),  
26 [https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/proc\\_20-25.8.pdf](https://www.governor.wa.gov/sites/default/files/proclamations/proc_20-25.8.pdf).

<sup>26</sup> *Id.* at p. 3.

<sup>27</sup> *Id.* at pp. 3-4.

1 57. Plaintiff has complied with the proclamations and orders which have required it to  
2 close, suspend, and/or curtail its business.

3 58. Among other things, Plaintiff complied with the proclamations and orders by  
4 preventing the public, including its customers and employees, from entering its place of business  
5 to participate in hair care services which were routine and allowed prior to the issuance of the  
6 proclamations and orders.

7 59. In order to comply with the proclamations and orders, Plaintiff was forced to  
8 suspend its business and incur extra expense.

9 60. Due to Governor Inslee's orders, beginning on or about March 17, 2020, Plaintiff  
10 was unable to use its physical business tenancy and other insured property therein for their  
11 intended purposes.

12 61. Plaintiff invested in its business property, insured the business property, and  
13 insured the income derived from its business property, but Plaintiff was deprived of its  
14 property's functionality due to the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

15 62. Loss caused by Governor Inslee's orders and proclamations and/or related to  
16 COVID-19 rendered Plaintiff's property unusable for its intended and insured purpose.

17 63. Governors and civil authorities in other states have issued similar orders and  
18 proclamations, for similar reasons.

19 64. Plaintiff's property and covered movable business property have sustained direct  
20 physical loss and/or damage related to COVID-19, property damage related to COVID-19,  
21 and/or the proclamations and orders.

22 65. Properties in the immediate vicinity of, and within one mile of Plaintiff's  
23 property, including Bellevue Square Mall and businesses within and surrounding Bellevue  
24

1 Square Mall, restaurants, performance venues, gyms, and other civic and private businesses,  
2 have sustained damage to property related to COVID-19 and/or the proclamations and orders.

3 66. Plaintiff's property has sustained direct physical loss or damage covered by  
4 Defendant's Policy, including but not limited to business income coverage, extra expense  
5 coverage, extended business interruption coverage, civil authority coverage, and other expenses.  
6

7 67. Plaintiff suffered direct physical loss of use of the covered properties for its  
8 intended purposes.

9 68. Plaintiff's property cannot be used for its full and insured intended purpose.

10 69. As a result of the above, Plaintiff has experienced and will experience loss  
11 covered by Defendant's Policy.

12 70. Plaintiff's suspension of its business in response to the proclamations and orders  
13 caused Plaintiff to suffer a business loss.  
14

15 71. Plaintiff complied with all requirements in the Policy.

16 72. No COVID-19 virus has been detected on Plaintiff's business premises.

17 73. Plaintiff filed a claim with Defendant for its losses covered by the Policy on  
18 February 15, 2021.

19 74. Defendant denied coverage for Plaintiff's claim by letter authored by an out-of-  
20 state "Senior Claims Specialist" dated March 9, 2021.  
21

22 75. Defendant's denial letter falsely states that it has "not received any information  
23 establishing any direct physical loss or damage at [Plaintiff's] impacted locations." In addition to  
24 being false, this statement misquotes the Policy, and is therefore misleading.

25 76. Defendant's denial letter also falsely states, "[t]here is no information supporting  
26 any direct physical loss or damage has occurred that caused such [governmental] orders to be

1 issued.” This statement again misquotes the Policy and also incorrectly implies that coverage  
2 under the Policy is only available due to physical loss or damage at a property other than  
3 Plaintiff’s insured premises, which is an incorrect and misleading conclusion.

4 77. Defendant made no meaningful investigation of Plaintiff’s claim or its loss.

5 78. Upon information and belief, Defendant has denied all claims submitted to it for  
6 business income coverage that relate to governmental proclamations or orders and/or COVID-19.  
7

8 79. Upon information and belief, Defendant has undertaken no meaningful  
9 investigation regarding whether there is or was any presence of COVID-19 at Plaintiff’s insured  
10 premises.

11 80. Upon information and belief, Defendant has undertaken no meaningful  
12 investigation regarding the timing, scope, or impact of governmental proclamations or closure  
13 orders that affect its insured’s business or business property.  
14

15 81. Upon information and belief, Defendant has undertaken no meaningful  
16 investigation regarding the community spread of COVID-19 in the vicinity of Plaintiff’s  
17 business.

18 82. Upon information and belief, Defendant has denied and will deny coverage to  
19 Plaintiff and all other similarly situated policyholders based on Defendant’s uniform policy to  
20 deny business interruption claims stemming from government closure orders related to COVID-  
21 19.  
22

23 83. The average purchaser of insurance in Washington State would understand that  
24 because there was a loss of access to Plaintiff’s “described premises” and/or its Covered  
25 Property in whole or in part, that Plaintiff has suffered a covered suspension of operations under  
26 the Policy.





1 COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil  
2 authorities and whose Business Income claim has been denied by ACE.

3 C. ***Business Income Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and entities in the  
4 United States issued an ACE policy with Business Income Coverage who suffered a  
5 suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders  
6 issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.  
7

8 D. ***Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:*** All persons  
9 and entities in the State of Washington issued an ACE policy with Business Income  
10 Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to  
11 COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil  
12 authorities.  
13

14 E. ***Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and entities in the  
15 United States issued one of Defendant's policies with Extra Expense coverage who  
16 incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at the covered  
17 premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by  
18 Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities whose Extra Expense  
19 claim has been denied by Defendant.  
20

21 F. ***Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:*** All persons  
22 and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant' policies with Extra  
23 Expense Coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of  
24 business at the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or  
25 orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extra Expense  
26 claim has been denied by Defendant.

1           G.     ***Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and entities in the  
2 United States issued one of Defendant’s policies with Extra Expense Coverage who  
3 incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at the covered  
4 premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by  
5 Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.  
6

7           H.     ***Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:*** All persons and  
8 entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant’s policies with Extra Expense  
9 Coverage who incurred expenses while seeking to minimize the suspension of business at  
10 the covered premises in connection with COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders  
11 issued by Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities.  
12

13           I.     ***Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and  
14 entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Extended Business Income  
15 Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to  
16 COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil  
17 authorities and whose Extended Business Income claim has been denied by ACE.  
18

19           J.     ***Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:***  
20 All persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant’s policies  
21 with Extended Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at  
22 the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by  
23 Governor Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Extended Business Income  
24 claim has been denied by Defendant.  
25

26           K.     ***Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and  
entities in the United States issued an ACE policy with Extended Business Income

1 Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises related to  
2 COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil  
3 authorities.

4 L. ***Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:*** All  
5 persons and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's with Extended  
6 Business Income Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered  
7 premises related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee,  
8 and/or other civil authorities.

9  
10 M. ***Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class:*** All persons and entities in the  
11 United States issued an ACE policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a  
12 suspension of their business and/or extra expense at the covered premises related to  
13 COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil  
14 authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by ACE.

15  
16 N. ***Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass:*** All persons  
17 and entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant's policies with Civil  
18 Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises  
19 related to the impact of COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor  
20 Inslee, and/or other civil authorities and whose Civil Authority claim has been denied by  
21 Defendant.

22  
23 O. ***Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class:*** All persons and entities in the  
24 United States issued an ACE policy with Civil Authority Coverage who suffered a  
25 suspension of their business at the covered premises related to COVID-19 and/or orders  
26 issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities.

1           **P.     *Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass:*** All persons and  
2 entities in the State of Washington issued one of Defendant’s policies with Civil  
3 Authority Coverage who suffered a suspension of their business at the covered premises  
4 related to COVID-19 and/or proclamations or orders issued by Governor Inslee, and/or  
5 other civil authorities.  
6

7           87.     Excluded from the Classes are Defendant’s officers, directors, and employees; the  
8 judicial officers and associated court staff assigned to this case; and the immediate family  
9 members of such officers and staff. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the Class definitions  
10 based on information obtained in discovery.

11           88.     This action may properly be maintained on behalf of each proposed Class under  
12 the criteria of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

13           89.     **Numerosity:** The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all  
14 members would be impractical. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each proposed Class has  
15 hundreds of members. The precise number of Class Members can be ascertained through  
16 discovery, which will include Defendant’s records of policyholders.

17           90.     **Commonality and Predominance:** Common questions of law and fact  
18 predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Common  
19 questions include, but are not limited to, the following:  
20

21           A.     Whether the Class and Subclass Members suffered cognizable losses  
22 under the Defendant’s policies;  
23

24           B.     Whether ACE acted in a manner common to the Class and Subclass in  
25 denying claims for coverage relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor  
26 Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

1 C. Whether Business Income Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance  
2 applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by  
3 Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

4 D. Whether Extended Business Income Coverage in ACE's policies of  
5 insurance applies to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued  
6 by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

7 E. Whether Extra Expense Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance applies  
8 to efforts to minimize a loss at the covered premises relating to COVID-19 and/or orders  
9 issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

10 F. Whether Civil Authority Coverage in ACE's policies of insurance applies  
11 to a suspension of business relating to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor  
12 Inslee, other Governors, and/or civil authorities;

13 G. Whether Defendant carried out a blanket denial of all claims based on  
14 business interruption, income loss or closures related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued  
15 by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil authorities;

16 H. Whether ACE has breached its contracts of insurance through a blanket  
17 denial of all claims based on business interruption, income loss or closures related to  
18 COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other civil  
19 authorities; and  
20  
21

22 I. Whether, because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and the Class  
23 Members are entitled to equitable and declaratory relief, and if so, the nature of such  
24 relief.  
25  
26

1           91.     **Typicality:** Plaintiff’s claims are typical of the claims of the members of the  
2 classes. Plaintiff and all the members of the classes have been injured by the same wrongful  
3 policies and practices of Defendant. Plaintiff’s claims arise from the same policies, practices, and  
4 course of conduct that give rise to the claims of the members of the Class and are based on the  
5 same legal theories.

6  
7           92.     **Adequacy:** Plaintiff will fully and adequately assert and protect the interests of  
8 the classes and has retained class counsel who are experienced and qualified in prosecuting class  
9 actions. Neither Plaintiff nor its attorneys have any interests contrary to or in conflict with the  
10 Class.

11           93.     **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1), the Risk of Inconsistent or Varying**  
12 **Adjudications and Impairment to Other Class Members’ Interests:** Plaintiff seeks  
13 adjudication as to the interpretation, and resultant scope, of Defendant’s policies, which are  
14 common to all members of the class. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members  
15 of the classes would risk inconsistent or varying interpretations of those policy terms and create  
16 inconsistent standards of conduct for Defendant. The policy interpretations sought by Plaintiff  
17 could also impair the ability of absent Class Members to protect their interests.

18  
19           94.     **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(2), Declaratory and Injunctive Relief:**  
20 Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to Plaintiff and other members  
21 of the proposed class making injunctive relief and declaratory relief appropriate on a classwide  
22 basis.

23  
24           95.     **Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3), Superiority:** A class action is  
25 superior to all other available methods of the fair and efficient adjudication of this lawsuit. While  
26 the aggregate damages sustained by the classes are likely to be in the millions of dollars, the

1 individual damages incurred by each Class Member may be too small to warrant the expense of  
2 individual suits. Individual litigation creates a risk of inconsistent and/or contradictory decisions  
3 and the court system would be unduly burdened by individual litigation of such cases. A class  
4 action would result in a unified adjudication, with the benefits of economies of scale and  
5 supervision by a single court.  
6

7 **VI. CAUSES OF ACTION**

8 **Count One—Declaratory Judgment**

9 *(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Business Income*  
10 *Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Class, Extra*  
11 *Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Declaratory*  
12 *Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Civil*  
13 *Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Washington*  
14 *Subclass)*

15 96. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

16 97. This is a cause of action for declaratory judgment pursuant to the Declaratory  
17 Judgment Act, codified at 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

18 98. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Declaratory  
19 Relief Class, Business Income Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extra Expense  
20 Declaratory Relief Class, Extra Expense Declaratory Relief Washington Subclass, Extended  
21 Business Income Declaratory Relief Class, Extended Business Income Declaratory Relief  
22 Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Declaratory Relief Class, and Civil Authority Declaratory  
23 Relief Washington Subclass.

24 99. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that Plaintiff and Class Members'  
25 losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of their business are covered by the Policy.

26 100. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment declaring that ACE is responsible for  
timely and fully paying all such claims.



**Count Two—Breach of Contract**

*(Brought on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass)*

101. Previous paragraphs alleged are incorporated herein.

102. Plaintiff brings this cause of action on behalf of the Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Class, Extra Expense Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Class, Extended Business Income Breach of Contract Washington Subclass, Civil Authority Breach of Contract Class, and Civil Authority Breach of Contract Washington Subclass.

103. The Policy is a contract under which Plaintiff and the class paid premiums to ACE in exchange for ACE's promise to pay Plaintiff and the class for all claims covered by the Policy.

104. Plaintiff has paid its insurance premiums.

105. Plaintiff contacted Defendant in or about March 2020 to ask whether ACE would provide coverage for its losses.

106. Defendant denied Plaintiff's claim for coverage.

107. On information and belief, ACE has denied, and will continue to deny coverage for other similarly situated policyholders.

108. Denying coverage for the claim is a breach of the insurance contract.

109. Plaintiff is harmed by the breach of the insurance contract by ACE.

**VII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

- 1. Class action status under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 2. A declaratory judgment that the policy or policies cover Plaintiff’s losses and expenses resulting from the interruption of the Plaintiff’s business related to COVID-19 and/or orders issued by Governor Inslee, other Governors, and/or other authorities.
- 3. A declaratory judgment that the Defendant is responsible for timely and fully paying all such losses.
- 4. Damages.
- 5. Pre- and post-judgment interest at the highest allowable rate.
- 6. Reasonable attorney fees and costs.
- 7. Such further and other relief as the Court shall deem appropriate.

**VIII. JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all claims so triable.

DATED this 31st day of March, 2021.

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

By: s/ Amy Williams-Derry

By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko

By: s/ Ian S. Birk

By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio

By: s/ Irene M. Hecht

By: s/ Gabriel E. Verdugo

By: s/ Nathan L. Nanfelt

Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711

Lynn L. Sarko, WSBA #16569

Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431

Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576

Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063

Gabriel E. Verdugo, WSBA #44154

Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-1900  
Fax: (206) 623-3384  
Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com  
Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com  
Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com  
Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com  
Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com  
Email: gverdugo@kellerrohrback.com  
Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com

***Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed  
Classes***